RIG-I Detects Viral Genomic RNA during Negative-Strand RNA Virus Infection
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SUMMARY

RIG-I is a key mediator of antiviral immunity, able to couple detection of infection by RNA viruses to the induction of interferons. Natural RIG-I stimulatory RNAs have variously been proposed to correspond to virus genomes, virus replication intermediates, viral transcripts, or self-RNA cleaved by RNase L. However, the relative contribution of each of these RNA species to RIG-I activation and interferon induction in virus-infected cells is not known. Here, we use three approaches to identify physiological RIG-I agonists in cells infected with influenza A virus or Sendai virus. We show that RIG-I agonists are exclusively generated by the process of virus replication and correspond to full-length virus genomes. Therefore, nongenomic viral transcripts, short replication intermediates, and cleaved self-RNA do not contribute substantially to interferon induction in cells infected with these negative strand RNA viruses. Rather, single-stranded RNA viral genomes bearing 5′-triphosphates constitute the natural RIG-I agonists that trigger cell-intrinsic innate immune responses during infection.

INTRODUCTION

Vertebrates possess a variety of defense mechanisms to detect, contain, and clear viral infections. Chief among these is the interferon (IFN) system, which plays a key role in inducing an antiviral state and contributes to the subsequent antigen specific adaptive immune response (Samuel, 2001). Type I IFNs (IFN-α and -β, hereafter simply referred to as IFN) and type III IFNs are induced very rapidly in all cell types by receptors that monitor the cytosol for the presence of nucleic acids indicative of virus presence. Such receptors include RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs) that recognize RNA and are themselves IFN inducible (Yoneyama and Fujita, 2008). The three members of this family—RIG-I (retinoic acid-inducible gene I), MDAR (melanoma differentiation factor-5) and LGP-2 (laboratory of genetics and physiology-2)—all contain a DExD/H-box RNA helicase domain. RIG-I and MDAR additionally posses two N-terminal caspase activation and recruitment domains that allow for interaction with the mitochondrial adaptor protein MAVS (Yoneyama and Fujita, 2009). MAVS triggers the activation of NF-κB, IRF-3, and IRF-7, which in turn induce transcription of IFNs and other innate response genes. Notably, mice deficient in RIG-I, MDAR, or MAVS readily succumb to infection with RNA viruses, highlighting the importance of RLRs in antiviral defense (Gitlin et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2006).

Total RNA extracted from virally infected cells can stimulate specific RLRs (Hornung et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2008; Pichlmair et al., 2009). For example, RNA from cells infected with influenza A virus (flu) potently induces IFN-β when transfected into wild-type or MDAR-deficient, but not RIG-1-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (Kato et al., 2008). However, the actual stimulatory RNA molecules within these pools remain largely unidentified. Instead, RLR agonists have been defined with chemically or enzymatically synthesized nucleic acids (reviewed in Schlee et al., 2009a). We and others identified RNAs transcribed in vitro by phage polymereses as potent RIG-I agonists (Hornung et al., 2006; Pichlmair et al., 2006). These RNAs carry a 5′-triphosphate (5′-PPP) moiety that is absolutely required for their activity (Hornung et al., 2006; Pichlmair et al., 2006). Other synthetic RIG-I agonists lack 5′-PPPs. These include poly I:C, which is prepared by annealing inosine and cytosine polymers that have monophosphate or diphosphate 5′ ends (Grunberg-Manago et al., 1955). Although long poly I:C activates MDAR (Gitlin et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006), short poly I:C (200–1000 nt) is reported to trigger RIG-I (Kato et al., 2008). Chemically synthesized RNA oligonucleotides 70 or 25 nt long and lacking 5′-PPPs also trigger RIG-I when annealed to a complementary strand (Kato et al., 2008; Takahasi et al., 2008). Thus, data obtained with synthetic RNAs suggest the possibility that there may be distinct types of RIG-I triggers in virally infected cells, including RNAs bearing 5′-PPPs or not and composed of either a single strand or two short complementary RNA strands.
In addition to synthetic RNAs, some natural RNAs also serve as RIG-I agonists. For example, RIG-I-dependent IFN production can be observed in response to transfection with genomic RNA from viruses such as flu or rabies virus that bear 5’-PPP but not genomes of viruses that have no 5’-phosphates, such as encephalomyocarditis virus, or that have a 5’-monophosphate, such as Hantaan virus, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, and Borna disease virus (Habjan et al., 2008; Hornung et al., 2006; Pichlmair et al., 2006). This has led to the hypothesis that, in infected cells, RIG-I may be activated by viral genomes bearing 5’-PPPs. However, transfection of naked viral RNA does not mimic infection, and viral genomes in infected cells are in the form of viral ribonucleoprotein particles (vRNPs) in which viral proteins may prevent access of RIG-I to the RNA. For example, the flu polymerase binds to the 5’ end of the viral genome and is predicted to obscure the 5’-PPP necessary for RIG-I activation (Fodor et al., 1994; Tiley et al., 1994). Further doubt on the notion that RIG-I is primarily activated by viral genomes has come from reports that measles virus and Epstein-Barr virus transcripts (Plumet et al., 2007; Samanta et al., 2006), as well as products of host RNA cleavage by RNase L bearing 3’-monophosphates (Malathi et al., 2007), serve as the triggers for RIG-I in virally infected cells. Thus, the identification of natural RNA molecules with the potential to activate RIG-I has not clarified the identity of the actual RIG-I stimulus responsible for initiating antiviral immunity. As such, there is a pressing need to study relevant RIG-I agonists isolated from virally infected cells as opposed to characterizing the types of synthetic or natural RNA that can activate RIG-I in experimental models.

RIG-I is indispensable for IFN responses to negative-strand RNA viruses, including Sendai virus (SeV) and flu (Kato et al., 2006). SeV has a nonsegmented genome consisting of a single RNA molecule and belongs to the paramyxoviridae family. This virus family includes important human pathogens such as measles, mumps, and respiratory syncytial virus. Flu is a segmented RNA virus, and annual flu epidemics result in an estimated 250,000–500,000 deaths worldwide (Kilbourne, 2006). In addition, the ability of flu to infect different mammalian and avian species and generate reassortants constantly poses the threat that a new highly pathogenic virus will emerge, leading to a pandemic outbreak. Notably, the virulence of some flu strains is due, at least in part, to a deregulation of the innate immune response (Maines et al., 2008). Therefore, understanding how RIG-I becomes activated during infection with flu and other RNA viruses not only is of basic research interest but may also allow the development of new ways of containing viral spread and preventing disease.

Here, we characterize the RNA species responsible for activating RIG-I in cells infected with flu or SeV. Reconstitution of flu vRNPs in cell culture showed that only 5’-PPP-bearing viral genomic RNA triggered RIG-I. Furthermore, isolation of RIG-I complexes from infected cells revealed the presence of full-length viral genomes that accounted for stimulatory activity. Taken together, our data show that 5’-PPP-bearing viral genomes rather than short double-stranded RNAs, viral transcripts, or cleaved self-RNA constitute the physiological source of RIG-I stimulation and IFN induction during infection with negative-strand RNA viruses.

RESULTS

Reconstitution of Influenza A Virus vRNPs Induces IFN-α/β

To simplify the search for RIG-I agonists in flu-infected cells, we started with a mock infection system involving reconstitution of vRNPs (Fodor et al., 2002; Pleschka et al., 1996) (Figure 1A). We confirmed expression of viral RNA (vRNA), complementary RNA (cRNA), and messenger RNA (mRNA) in vRNP reconstitution experiments with each of the eight PR8 genome segments (Figure S1A available online). We then tested the IFN-inducing activity of total RNA from vRNP reconstitution experiments in an IFN-β promoter luciferase reporter assay (Figure 1B). RNA isolated from nontransfected cells (no TF) or from cells expressing the viral polymerase but no genome segment (no template) did not induce reporter activity (Figure 1B). However, RNA extracted from cells expressing the wild-type viral polymerase and any of the eight genome segments was stimulatory (Figure 1B), as reported for RNA isolated from flu-infected cells (Kato et al., 2008). Cells expressing a polymerase mutated in its active site (PB1a) did not accumulate stimulatory RNA (Figure 1C). In addition, the stimulatory activity of total cellular RNA from vRNP reconstitutions, like that of in vitro-transcribed (IVT) RNA, was RIG-I dependent (Figure 1D). The accumulation of stimulatory RNA in transfected cells was accompanied by RIG-I-dependent secretion of IFN-α/β into the culture medium, although this was not always detectable unless the cells were pretreated with IFN to upregulate RIG-I and downstream mediators prior to transfection (Figures 1E and 1F; see below for NS segment). In sum, like live infection, flu vRNP reconstitution induces RIG-I-dependent production of IFN and promotes the accumulation of RIG-I-stimulatory RNA in cells.

Transcription of vRNPs Is Dispensable for IFN Induction

Stimulatory RNA accumulated in reconstitutions with a modified template (Pleschka et al., 1996) in which the bacterial chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene was flanked by a viral polymerase promoter composed of the 5′ and 3′ noncoding regions of the NS segment (vCAT, Figure 1C). Therefore, apart from this short promoter, specific viral sequence elements are not required for the generation of stimulatory RNA. We next asked whether transcription and/or replication are necessary. We used point mutations in the PA subunit of the viral polymerase that selectively impair one or the other process (Hara et al., 2006). As shown in Figure 2A, the PA-E410A polymerase (replication mutant) generated normal amounts of viral mRNA from an NA template but vRNA and cRNA levels were reduced about 3-fold. This was accompanied by a 3-fold reduction in IFN secretion by the transfected cells and by accumulation of lower amounts of stimulatory RNA (Figures 2B and 2C). In contrast, when transcription was selectively abrogated with the PA-D108A transcription mutant, mRNA production was blocked, yet we did not see a loss but rather observed an increase in stimulatory RNA accumulation, as well as elevated IFN secretion (Figure 2). Similar results were obtained when the PB2 genome segment was used as the template (Figure S2). Therefore, in vRNP reconstitutions, transcription is dispensable for IFN induction and for the accumulation of stimulatory RNA, which
Figure 1. vRNP Reconstitution Induces IFN

(A) Scheme of the vRNP reconstitution system. Individual segments of the flu genome (vRNAs) are expressed off a promoter for RNA polymerase I, which generates uncapped RNA transcripts. These act as templates for the viral polymerase, which is expressed, together with the NP protein, from a different set of plasmids. The viral polymerase (i) replicates the negative sense genome segment via a positive sense cRNA intermediate (antigenome) and (ii) snatches short stretches of capped RNA (depicted in gray) from cellular mRNA to serve as primers for transcription of viral mRNA, which is then translated into viral protein.

(B) Each genome segment (PB2, PB1, PA, HA, NP, NA, M, or NS) was used for the vRNP reconstitution or the genome segment was omitted (no template). Two days after transfection, total RNA was extracted and tested in an IFN-β promoter luciferase reporter assay. Results were normalized to a Renilla luciferase control and are shown as fold increase relative to cells treated with transfection reagent only. RNA extracted from non-transfected cells (no TF) and IVT-RNA (Neo<sup>1–99</sup>) were included as controls.

(C) The bacterial CAT gene was flanked by viral 5’ and 3’ noncoding sequences (vCAT) and expressed instead of an authentic viral genome segment. In one group, pcDNA-PB1 was replaced by pcDNA-PB1a that encodes a mutant aborting polymerase activity (vCAT/PB1a). Extracted RNA was tested as in (B).

(D) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts of the indicated genotype were transfected with 100 ng RNA extracted from vRNP reconstitutions with the PB2, NA, or NS genome segment. RNA from reconstitutions without a genome segment (no template), RNA from non-transfected cells (no TF), IVT-RNA (Neo<sup>1–99</sup>) and poly I:C were included as controls. After overnight culture, cell culture supernatants were tested for mouse IFN content.

(E) Supernatants from vRNP reconstitutions were harvested 2 days after transfection. A bioassay was used to test for the presence of human IFN. Cells were pretreated or not with IFN-α/β (100 units/ml overnight) prior to transfection.

(F) siRNA targeting RIG-I or a control siRNA (cont.) were cotransfected with the plasmids for vRNP reconstitution. Supernatants were tested as in (E).

Representative examples of three (A–C and E) or two (D and F) independent experiments are shown. (D)–(F) show average values and standard deviation of triplicate measurements. See also Figures S1 and S7.

Correlate instead with the amount of vRNA and cRNA produced by viral replication.

**Full-Length Viral Genomes Bearing 5'-PPPs Trigger IFN Induction in vRNP Reconstitutions**

Resistance to DNase and susceptibility to RNase V1+A treatments confirmed that extracted RNA accounted for stimulatory activity in vRNP reconstitutions (Figure 3A and Figure S3A). Digestion with Terminator (TER), a 5’-to-3’ exonuclease that degrades RNA bearing 5’-monophosphate, led to disappearance of ribosomal RNAs but did not alter the potency of the preparations (Figure 3A and Figure S3A). In contrast, treatment with calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP), which removes 5’-phosphates, completely abolished the stimulatory activity (Figure 3A and Figure S3A).

We then determined the size of the stimulatory RNA. RNA from vRNP reconstitutions using the PB2, NA, or NS segments was separated into eight fractions of decreasing size by agarose gel electrophoresis. Nucleic acid was extracted from each fraction and tested in the IFN-β reporter assay. As a control, we fractionated a 99 nt long IVT-RNA and showed that its stimulatory activity was recovered exclusively in fraction 7, as expected (Figure 3B and Figure S3B). Notably, stimulatory RNA from vRNP reconstitutions with PB2, NA, and NS segments was recovered in fractions 2, 3, and 5/6, respectively, correlating with the respective size of these segments (2341, 1413, and 890 nt). This observation excludes a dominant role for short replication intermediates (or small stimulatory RNAs of self origin), which would elute in fractions 7 or 8 (Figure 3A and Figure S3A). In sum, RNAs corresponding in size to the viral genome or antigenome and bearing more than one 5’-phosphate account for the majority of the stimulatory RNA generated during vRNP reconstitution.
NS1 Inhibits IFN Induction in vRNP Reconstitutions and Associates with RIG-I and Stimulatory RNA

The NS segment encodes the viral NS1 and NS2 proteins, the former of which binds RNA and, in the PR8 strain, acts as an inhibitor of IFN induction (Gack et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2007; Mibayashi et al., 2007; Opitz et al., 2007; Pichlmair et al., 2006). Accordingly, we did not detect secretion of IFN in vRNP reconstitutions using the NS genome segment (Figure 1E) even though stimulatory RNA accumulated in these cells (Figure 1B and Figure S3A). However, when we modified the PR8 NS genome segment by introducing two point mutations (R38A and K41A) in the sequence encoding the RNA binding domain (Donelan et al., 2003), vRNP reconstitution resulted in secretion of significant amounts of IFN (Figures S1B–S1E). These results show that, as expected, NS1 inhibits IFN induction during vRNP reconstitution.

To explore the possibility that IFN inhibition involves simultaneous binding of NS1 to stimulatory RNAs and to RIG-I, we used a two-step immunoprecipitation approach (Figure S4A). Lysates from cells expressing NS1 and FLAG-tagged RIG-I were combined with IVT-RNA, and RIG-I complexes were precipitated with α-FLAG antibodies. As described (Pichlmair et al., 2006), wild-type NS1 but not the NS1 R38A/K41A mutant associated with RIG-I (Figure S4B). Next, native complexes were eluted using FLAG peptide and were reprecipitated with α-NS1 antibody (Figure S4C). The majority of the stimulatory RNA was

Figure 2. vRNP Replication but Not Transcription Induces IFN

(A) Cells were pretreated or not with IFN-A/D as in Figure 1E and used for vRNP reconstitution with the NA genome segment in conjunction with an intact viral polymerase (WT), a polymerase lacking the PA subunit (w/o PA), or with two point mutants, PA-D108A and PA-E410A. Extracted RNA was tested by primer extension for NA-cRNA, -mRNA, and -vRNA. A primer specific for 5S rRNA was used as a control. Signals were quantified by phosphorimager, normalized to the 5S rRNA control, and are expressed relative to WT polymerase. A nonspecific band is marked with an asterisk.

(B) Supernatants from (A) were tested in the human IFN bioassay.

(C) Total RNA extracted from (A) was tested in the IFN-β reporter assay.

(A) is representative of two independent experiments, and (B) and (C) of four experiments. (B) shows average values and standard deviation of triplicate measurements. See Figure S2 for equivalent experiments using the PB2 genome segment.

Figure 3. Full-Length Viral Genomes Trigger IFN Induction in vRNP Reconstitutions

(A) RNA extracted from reconstitutions using the PB2 genome segment was subjected to CIP, TER, DNase, or RNase A+V1 digestion. Parallel reactions with (+) and without (−) enzyme were performed and RNAs were analyzed by gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining (bottom) and in the IFN-β reporter assay (top). Extracted RNA without any further treatment was also included (untreated).

(B) RNA extracted from reconstitutions using the PB2, NA, or NS genome segments was size fractionated on agarose gels (fractions 1 to 8 from the pockets to the bottom). RNA was reisolated and tested as in (A). IVT-RNA (Neo1–596) was included in the fractionation. The length of this RNA and that of the viral genome segments is given in brackets. Data are representative of three independent experiments. See also Figure S3.
retained in the second immunoprecipitation with wild-type NS1 (Figure S4D), indicating that the viral protein traps stimulatory RNA and RIG-I in a trimolecular complex. However, RIG-I was not necessary for NS1-dependent sequestration of stimulatory RNA and RIG-I as the latter still occurred in RIG-I-deficient cells (Figure S4E).

**Association with NS1 Marks the Natural RIG-I Agonist during Flu Infection**

The above experiments suggested that NS1 immunoprecipitation might allow the isolation of RIG-I agonists from flu-infected cells. Indeed, nucleic acids extracted from NS1 pulldowns from flu-infected cells potently induced the IFN-β reporter (Figure 4A). This approach did not require artificial overexpression of any protein and allowed quantitative recovery of all relevant RIG-I agonists as evidenced by the fact that it depleted cell lysates from stimulatory activity (Figure 4B). The stimulatory activity of NS1-associated RNAs was sensitive to RNase A treatment but not DNase digestion and was RIG-I dependent as it was greatly diminished by siRNA depletion of mouse but not human RIG-I in NIH 3T3 cells and vice versa in HEK293T cells (Figure S4F and Figure 4C). We conclude that RIG-I agonistic RNAs generated during flu infection are associated with NS1 and can be purified from infected cells by NS1 immunoprecipitation.

**Flu Genomes Constitute the Physiological RIG-I Agonist**

NS1-associated stimulatory activity was sensitive to CIP but not to TER treatment and encompassed RNA species ranging from 0.5 to 6 kb (Figures 5A and 5B). The phosphatase sensitivity and size characteristics suggested that stimulatory activity might be attributable to 5’-PPP-containing genomic or antigenic RNA segments. Indeed, primer extension analysis revealed that both vRNAs and cRNA and mRNA in the NS1 but not a control immunoprecipitate (Figures 5C and 5D). For example, PB2 vRNA was 21-fold enriched among NS1 associated RNAs compared to RNA extracted from the lysate (Figures 5C and 5D). We also detected some viral cRNA and mRNA in the NS1 precipitate, albeit not for all segments (Figures 5C and 5D). In northern blots with a full-length probe for M segment vRNA, the NS1-associated RNA migrated at around 1000 nt, corresponding to the size of the genome segment (1027 nt, Figure 5E). Thus, full-length flu genomes are highly enriched in the NS1-associated RIG-I stimulatory fraction.

To validate these findings by an independent approach, we generated a cell line expressing FLAG-tagged RIG-I and infected these cells with PR8 flu or a mutant that does not express the NS1 protein (ΔNS1). RIG-I was precipitated with α-FLAG antibody, and associated nucleic acids were tested in the IFN-β promoter reporter assay (Figure 6A). We recovered stimulatory RNA from the FLAG immunoprecipitation but not from a control reaction (Figure 6A and Figure S5A). Consistent with the observations from vRNP reconstitution and NS1 precipitation experiments, RIG-I-associated RNA lost its stimulatory activity after CIP but not TER treatment (Figure 6B). We characterized RNA from RIG-I precipitates by three approaches. First, we used oligonucleotides complementary to the PB2 and NA segments in primer extension experiments. We found that both vRNAs and cRNAs were retained specifically in the RIG-I purification, while contaminating 5S rRNA was detectable in both RIG-I and control precipitates (Figure 6C). Second, in northern blots for vRNA of the M segment, the RIG-I-associated RNA migrated at the size expected for the full-length genome segment (1027 nt), and we did not detect faster-migrating RNA species (Figure 6D). Third, the size profile of RIG-I-associated stimulatory

---

**Figure 4. NS1 Associates with the Actual RIG-I Agonist during Flu Infection**

(A) NS1 was immunoprecipitated from total lysate of MDCK cells infected with PR8 WT flu for 48 hr at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01. Nucleic acids associated with control IgG or NS1 immunoprecipitates (IP) were extracted and tested in the IFN-β reporter assay. Western blot (WB) shows the presence of NS1.

(B) Total lysate of MDCK cells infected with flu was subjected to three rounds of mock or NS1 immunoprecipitation. Nucleic acids were extracted from the lysate and the depleted fractions and tested as in (A). The depletion of NS1 was monitored by WB.

(C) Mouse NIH 3T3 or human HEK293T cells were cotransfected with the IFN-β promoter reporter plasmid and siRNAs specific for human or mouse RIG-I. After 48 hr, cells were transfected with the indicated amount of IVT-RNA or NS1-associated RNA (NS1-IP), and luciferase activity was measured 12 hr later. (A), (B), and (C) are representative of five, three, and two independent experiments, respectively. See also Figure S4.
RNA matched the size range of flu genome segments (890–2341 nt) and was not found in smaller fractions (Figure 6E). Thus, direct RIG-I precipitation reveals only the presence of flu viral genomes and not other stimulatory nucleic acids in flu-infected cells.

RIG-I Is Triggered by Viral Genomic RNA during Sendai Virus Infection

To extend our findings to other viruses sensed by RIG-I, we chose SeV. The SeV genome consists of a single negative sense 5’-PPP-bearing RNA molecule 15,384 nt long that serves as a template for the synthesis of capped mRNAs and 5’-PPP antigensomes. Unlike flu, SeV makes short (~50 nt long) leader and trailer 5’-PPP RNAs during infection. Thus, in SeV-infected cells, these RNAs could serve as RIG-I agonists, as proposed for the related measles virus (Plumet et al., 2007).

Total RNA from SeV-infected cells but not from uninfected cells potently induced the IFN-β promoter upon transfection into reporter cells (Figure 7A). As for flu, stimulatory activity was RIG-I dependent and sensitive to RNase, but not DNase treatment (Figures S6A and S6B) and was additionally sensitive...
Figure 6. Influenza A Virus Genomes and Antigenomes Trigger IFN Induction during Infection

(A) HEK293 cells expressing FLAG-RIG-I were infected with flu PR8 WT or PR8 ΔNS1 at an MOI of 1. After 16 hr, RIG-I was precipitated with α-FLAG antibody. An isotope matched antibody (IgG) was used as a control. RNA extracted from the precipitates was tested in the IFN-β luc reporter assay (top). The precipitates were also tested by WB using α-FLAG antibodies (bottom).

(B) RNA associated with FLAG-RIG-I (from PR8 ΔNS1 infection) was analyzed by CIP and TER treatment and tested as in (A).

(C) Stimulatory RNA in the control and FLAG-RIG-I precipitates was analyzed by primer extension for the presence of PB2 and NA mRNA, cRNA, and vRNA, as well as 5S rRNA.

(D) Northern blot analysis of RNA bound to FLAG-RIG-I using a full-length probe specific for M-vRNA.

(E) Size profile of the FLAG-RIG-I associated stimulatory RNA (from PR8 ΔNS1 infection) determined as in Figure 5B.

(A) and (B)–(E) are representative examples of four and two independent experiments, respectively. See also Figures S5 and S7.
Viral Genomes Constitute the Primary RIG-I Agonist during Sendai Virus Infection

(A) HEK293 cells were infected or not with SeV at an MOI of 5. After 16 hr, RNA was extracted with TRIzol and tested in the IFN-β reporter assay as in Figure 1B.

(B) Stimulatory RNA extracted from SeV-infected cells was treated with CIP or TER. The minus sign denotes a control reaction without enzyme. Aliquots were tested as in (A) (top) and by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining (bottom).

(C) Size profile of stimulatory RNA extracted from SeV-infected cells. RNA from (A) was resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis. The gel was cut into two fractions, corresponding to RNAs migrating above or below 300 nt by comparison with an RNA marker. RNA was re-extracted and analyzed as in (A). IVT-RNA (Neo1–99, 99 nt) was included as a control.

(D) HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmids expressing NS1 or NS1-R38A/K41A. After 24 hr, cells were infected with SeV at an MOI of 5. Cell lysates were prepared 20 hr later, and NS1 was precipitated. The precipitates were analyzed by WB (bottom) and nucleic acids were extracted and tested as in (A) (top).

(E) Transiently transfected HEK293T expressing NS1 were infected with SeV and NS1 was precipitated as in (D). Nucleic acid extracted from the cell lysate (input) or associated with α-NS1 or IgG control antibodies (IP) was analyzed for the presence of SeV genomic, antigenomic, and messenger RNA and 5S rRNA by primer extension.

(F) FLAG-RIG-I and FLAG-MDA5 were expressed in HEK293T cells by transient transfection, followed by infection with SeV after 24 hr. Cell lysates were prepared after 20 hr and RIG-I and MDA5 were precipitated using α-FLAG antibodies. Nucleic acids were extracted from precipitates (IP) and analyzed as in (A) (top panel). The bottom panel shows a WB using an aliquot of the IP.

(G) Nucleic acids extracted from cell lysates (input) and precipitates (IP) as in Figure 7F were tested by primer extension for SeV genomic RNA and 5S rRNA. Panels (A), (C), (F), and (G) and panels (B), (D), and (E) are representative examples of three and two independent experiments, respectively. See also Figures S6 and S7.

to CIP but not TER (Figure 7B). Size fractionation into “large” (>300 nt) and “small” (<300 nt) RNAs showed that stimulatory RNA from SeV infected cells was “large,” whereas that of a control 99 nt IVT RNA was “small” (Figure 7C). This excludes a major role for leader and trailer RNAs in triggering RIG-I and together with the CIP sensitivity suggests that genomic and/or antigenomic RNA is the primary RIG-I agonist during SeV infection.
To capture the physiologically relevant RIG-I agonist during SeV infection, we again made use of flu NS1, which is able to inhibit IFN responses to SeV (Wang et al., 2000). As predicted, WT NS1 but not the NS1 mutant was able to precipitate stimulatory RNAs from extracts of transiently transfected cells infected with SeV (Figure 7D). We next tested by primer extension if NS1-associated stimulatory RNA contains SeV genomic, antigenic, and/or messenger RNA (Figure S6D). Compared to RNA extracted from the cell lysate, SeV genomic RNA was enriched between 6.6- and 11.5-fold in the NS1 precipitate, while the antigenic and N-mRNA were not detectable (Figure 7E and Figure S6C). We validated these results by precipitating FLAG-RIG-I (or FLAG-MDA5 as a control) from transiently transfected cells infected with SeV. Stimulatory RNA was recovered only in the FLAG-RIG-I immunoprecipitation and SeV genomic RNA was enriched between 7.4- and 17-fold in the NS1 precipitate, while the antigenic and N-mRNA were not detectable (Figure 7F and Figure S6D). Compared to RNA extracted from the cell lysate, SeV genomic RNA was enriched between 6.6- and 11.5-fold in the NS1 precipitate, while the antigenic and N-mRNA were not detectable (Figure 7F and Figure S6D). Thus, flu NS1 or RIG-I precipitation selectively enriches for SeV viral genomes. Taken together with the size characteristics of the stimulatory RNA and the CIP sensitivity, these observations show that 5’-PPP bearing genomic RNA is the main trigger for RIG-I during SeV infection.

DISCUSSION

Sensing of virus presence and cytokine induction via the RIG-I pathway are crucial for successful host defense against infections with RNA viruses (Pichlmair and Reis e Sousa, 2007; Yoneyama and Fujita, 2009). Although the signaling cascade from RIG-I to IFN induction is well defined, the identity and properties of RIG-I agonists and the mechanisms that allow the helix to be activated specifically in infected cells are controversial. Viral genomes, shorter viral transcripts, double-stranded RNA, or cellular RNA cleaved by RNase L have all been suggested to trigger RIG-I (Habjan et al., 2008; Hausmann et al., 2008; Hornung et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2008; Malathi et al., 2007; Pichlmair et al., 2006; Plumet et al., 2007; Ranjit-Kumar et al., 2009; Samanta et al., 2006; Takahasi et al., 2008). Such RNAs have been variably defined as containing no phosphates, 5’-monophosphates, 5’-triphosphates, or 3’-monophosphates (Hornung et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2008; Malathi et al., 2007; Pichlmair et al., 2006; Takahasi et al., 2008) and, in some cases, to require specific structural determinants or sequence motifs (Marques et al., 2006; Saito et al., 2008; Schlee et al., 2009b; Schmidt et al., 2009; Uzri and Gehrke, 2009). Most of these studies, however, have been limited to the analysis of RIG-I activation by defined RNAs, including synthetic RNAs made by chemical or enzymatic synthesis or vRNAs isolated from virus particles. Although such studies have been instrumental in defining the range of RNAs that can activate RIG-I, they have fallen short of identifying physiological RIG-I agonists that are actually responsible for activating RIG-I and triggering IFN production in virus-infected cells. Here, we analyze the properties of relevant RIG-I agonists in cells infected with flu or SeV. Using three complementary approaches, we find that genomic RNA generated by viral replication constitutes the major trigger for RIG-I and conclude that viral transcripts, RNase L cleavage products, and/or other RNA species make only a minor contribution to cell-intrinsic antiviral innate immunity.

We started with a mock infection system that allows the reconstitution of flu vRNP complexes and leads to IFN induction and accumulation of stimulatory RNA (Figure 1). Using this system, we found that an artificial genome segment that retains the viral promoter but otherwise lacks viral sequences behaved similarly to bona fide flu vRNA segments (Figure 1C). Therefore, RIG-I activation in this setting is largely sequence independent. This is in contrast to recent reports suggesting that a polyuridine motif in the hepatitis C virus 3’ untranslated region is required for triggering RIG-I (Saito et al., 2008; Uzri and Gehrke, 2009). Importantly, those conclusions were based on cellular responses to transfected IVT-RNAs, whereas the vRNP reconstitution system used here allowed us to look at RNAs made endogenously by the mock-infected cell. Nevertheless, it remains possible that sequence motifs may facilitate RIG-I activation in some instances. Indeed, such motifs could contribute to the observed quantitative differences in accumulation of stimulatory RNA and IFN secretion depending on which of eight flu genome segments was used for reconstitution (Figures 1B and 1E). Alternatively, those differences may be due to the expression of viral proteins associated with the viral genome (such as the PB2, PB1, PA, NP, M1, NS1, and NS2 proteins), which may inhibit or facilitate the access and/or function of RIG-I.

In a particularly striking example of the latter point, the viral NS1 protein completely blocked IFN induction during vRNP reconstitution (Figures S1B–S1E). NS1 can interact with RIG-I (Mibayashi et al., 2007), especially in the presence of stimulatory RNA through formation of a trimeric complex (Figures S4A–S4D) (Pichlmair et al., 2006). This activity of NS1 is dependent on the integrity of the RNA binding domain, which is reported to bind double-stranded RNA (Hatada and Fukuda, 1992). Interestingly, recent studies demonstrate that, in addition to the 5’-PPP, synthetic RNAs require base pairing at the 5’ end in order to trigger RIG-I (Schlee et al., 2009b; Schmidt et al., 2009). Such 5’ base-paired regions can be found within the genomes of flu and SeV (Knipe and Howley, 2007). We therefore envisage that one mechanism of NS1 action may be to bind to the base-paired region at the 5’ end of viral genomes. This does not prevent RIG-I binding to the 5’-PPP via its C-terminal domain (Cui et al., 2008; Takahasi et al., 2008) but may block translocation along the base paired stretch, which has been proposed to be necessary for signaling (Myong et al., 2009). This model (Figure S4G) therefore suggests that the ability of NS1 to associate with stimulatory RNAs is due to its propensity to recognize RNA secondary structures determinants important for RIG-I activation (Schlee et al., 2009b; Schmidt et al., 2009) and is consistent with the finding that NS1 binds agonistic RNA in the absence of functional RIG-I (Figure S4E). This model does not exclude additional modes of NS1 action, such as inhibition of TRIM25-mediated RIG-I ubiquitination (Gack et al., 2009).

Given the finding that NS1 associates with stimulatory RNA, we used it as one of our strategies to purify RIG-I agonists from infected cells. As a complementary approach, we immunoprecipitated epitope-tagged RIG-I from infected cells. Both precipitations enriched for flu and SeV genomic RNAs. Furthermore, NS1- or RIG-I-associated stimulatory RNA matched the
size of vRNA and required 5'-phosphates for stimulatory activity. Thus, viral genomic RNAs represent the major RIG-I agonist in flu- and SeV-infected cells. Antigenomes, which have an identical size to the genome and also bear 5'-PPP, may also contribute to IFN induction. Indeed, flu cRNAs were present in the NS1 and RIG-I immunoprecipitates (Figures S5C, S5D, and S6C). Their contribution, however, is likely to be minor, as cRNA accumulates to much lower levels compared to vRNA (Robb et al., 2009) (Figure S1A and Figure S5C).

In contrast to vRNA and cRNA, flu or SeV transcripts do not appear to trigger RIG-I, based on the size distribution of the stimulatory RNA, the fact that the transcription-defective PA-D108A mutant flu polymerase was fully capable of inducing IFN in vRNP reconstitution experiments, and the fact that viral mRNAs, like cellular mRNAs, are capped. These findings do not exclude a role for viral transcripts in activating RIG-I in other virus infections such as measles virus and Epstein-Barr virus (Plumet et al., 2007; Samanta et al., 2006) as those viruses use mechanisms for transcription that can result in transcripts bearing 5'-triphosphates. However, it is worth noting that measles-related SeV also generates uncapped short 5'-triphosphate-bearing leader and trailer RNA transcripts, yet our size fractionation experiments exclude a role for these short RNAs in RIG-I stimulation in SeV-infected cells (Figure 7C). We speculate that leader and trailer RNAs lack a sufficient degree of secondary structure to potently trigger RIG-I and/or are sequestered by association with cellular proteins. Similarly, during infection with another paramyxovirus, respiratory syncitial virus, leader RNAs do not play an important role in IFN induction (Bitko et al., 2008). Thus, the ability of a virus to generate uncapped transcripts during its life cycle does not necessarily mean that these will act as RIG-I agonists.

Our results also appear to exclude RNase L cleavage products as major RIG-I agonists during infection with negative-strand RNA viruses. Such cleavage products have 5'-hydroxyl and 3'-monophosphate ends and are expected to be shorter than 200 nt (Malathi et al., 2007; Wreschner et al., 1981). Yet we found that the stimulatory activity of RNA isolated from both vRNP reconstitutions and infected cells strictly required 5'-phosphates and was longer than 200 nt. It may therefore be the case that RNase L-cleaved self or viral RNAs are not obligate RIG-I agonists but primarily serve to amplify RIG-I activation driven by vRNA. Consistent with such a model, RNase L-deficient mice show only a 6-fold reduction in serum IFN-β after infection with SeV (Malathi et al., 2007).

Virus entry into cells can induce innate immune responses in the absence of replication (Collins et al., 2004) and, in fact, 56°C-inactivated influenza virus was originally used to discover IFNs (Isaacs and Lindenmann, 1957). In retrospect, the latter observations may be explained by RIG-I-mediated recognition of incoming viral genomes delivered by high doses of fusogenic virus. However, the fact that NS1, a nonstructural protein only produced after infection, can effectively prevent IFN induction by flu indicates that the incoming genomes of virus particles are not the major triggers of RIG-I activation during live infection. Consistent with that notion, infection in the presence of drugs that block translation (and, consequently, inhibit the virus life cycle) prevents accumulation of stimulatory RNA in the cytoplasm of flu-infected cells (Figure S7A). Therefore, we believe that progeny genomes are the likely source of RIG-I stimulatory activity. However, flu replication is confined to the nucleus (Jackson et al., 1982; Krug et al., 1987), raising the question of how progeny viral genomic RNA is sensed in the cytoplasmic compartment monitored by RIG-I. It is clear that progeny genomes traverse the cytoplasm for assembly of new virions, but these genomes are bound at the ends by the flu polymerase and along their length by the NP protein. For RIG-I to interact with the genome and the critical 5'-PPP moiety, these viral proteins need either to be displaced or to dissociate from the vRNA. The former process could be facilitated by the ATP-driven helicase activity of RIG-I (Takahasi et al., 2008), whereas the latter may occur naturally as part of an equilibrium reaction. Indeed, viral RNA within vRNPs is accessible to nucleases (Duesberg, 1969) and might therefore also permit RIG-I docking, at least for a fraction of the estimated 100,000 viral genome segments present within an infected cell.

Here, we report that viral genomes are the major trigger for RIG-I in cells infected with negative-sense single-stranded RNA viruses. Our findings confirm earlier suggestions that single-stranded RNAs bearing 5'-PPPs constitute effective agonists for RIG-I (Horng et al., 2006; Pichlmair et al., 2006). It is worth noting that single-strandedness does not mean absence of base pairing. Flu genome segments and SeV genomic RNA adopt a “panhandle” conformation by pairing of complementary 5’ and 3’ ends (Knipe and Howley, 2007). Interestingly, Myong et al. showed that RIG-I translocates on synthetic double-stranded RNA molecules and that this movement is enhanced the presence of 5’-PPP (Myong et al., 2009). Notably, treatment of the stimulatory RNAs studied here with the double-stranded RNA specific nuclease RNase III abolishes RIG-I stimulatory activity (Figure S7B), which indicates that these RNAs contain base-paired regions. Therefore, we envisage that base-pairing within the “panhandle” structure of single-stranded flu and SeV genomic RNAs acts in cooperation with the presence of 5’-PPP to allow for potent RIG-I activation (Pichlmair et al., 2006). This model is likely to apply to other viruses sensed by RIG-I as panhandle structures are found in many single stranded RNA virus genomes (Schlee et al., 2009b). Thus, RIG-I integrates RNA secondary structure determinants and the presence of a 5’-PPP to effectively discriminate viral genomes from self-RNA.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Reconstitution of Flu vRNPs
One million HEK293T cells were transiently transfected using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) with 1 μg each of pcDNA-PB2, -PB1, -PA, and -NP (all from the flu WSN strain) and a pPOLI construct expressing a flu genome segment (derived from the flu A/PR/8/34 [PR8] strain). Two days after transfection, cell culture supernatants were collected and total RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen).

RNA Analysis
CIP (New England Biolabs), T7 (Epitcenc Biotechnologies), RNase A (Promega), and RNase V1 (Ambion) combined with RNase A (Sigma) or RNase III (Ambion) were used according to manufacturer recommendations. A control reaction omitting the enzyme was carried out in parallel. RNA was recovered by extraction with phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol (25:24:1), followed by chloroform extraction and precipitation with ethanol and sodium acetate in
the presence of glycogen. For size fractionation, RNAs were separated on 0.75% TBE-agarose gels at 70 V for 3 hr. Gels were cut into slices (including the well and bottom of the gel) and RNA was recovered from gel pieces with Quantum Prep Freeze N Squeeze Spin Columns (Bio-Rad) and precipitation (as above). Primer extension and northern blot assays are described in the Extended Experimental Procedures. Oligonucleotide sequences are given in Table S1.

**Immunoprecipitation from Virally Infected Cells**

Lysates from cells infected with flu PR8, flu PR8 ΔNS1, or SeV were used for immunoprecipitation as detailed in the Extended Experimental Procedures. Aliquots of the beads were boiled in SDS sample buffer for western blot analysis or RNA was recovered from the beads by extraction and precipitation as described above.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.020.
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Intracellular detection of virus infections is a critical component of innate immunity carried out by molecules known as pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs). Activation of PRRs by their respective pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) leads to production of proinflammatory cytokines, including type I IFN, and the establishment of an antiviral state in the host. Out of all PRRs found to date, retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I) has been shown to play a key role in recognition of RNA viruses. On the basis of in vitro and transfection studies, 5′ppp RNA produced during virus replication is thought to bind and activate this important sensor. However, the nature of RNA molecules that interact with endogenous RIG-I during the course of viral infection has not been determined. In this work we use next-generation RNA sequencing to show that RIG-I preferentially associates with shorter, 5′ppp containing viral RNA molecules in infected cells. We found that during Sendai infection RIG-I specifically bound the genome of the defective interfering (DI) particle and did not bind the full-length virus genome or any other viral RNAs. In influenza-infected cells RIG-I preferentially associated with shorter genomic segments as well as subgenomic DI particles. Our analysis for the first time identifies RIG-I PAMPs under natural infection conditions and implies that full-length genomes of single segmented RNA virus families are not bound by RIG-I during infection.

The retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I)–like receptor (RLR) family of viral sensors contains three members that include the retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I), melanoma differentiation factor 5 (MDA5), and Laboratory of Genetics and Physiology gene 2 (LGP2) (1–4). Both RIG-I and MDA5 have been shown to play an important role in recognition of RNA viruses. For most RNA viruses both receptors contribute to IFN induction, although the relative contribution may be cell type specific (5–7). Some viruses, such as picornaviruses and influenza virus, appear to be recognized by only one of the sensors, with picornaviruses being sensed by MDA5 and influenza virus by RIG-I (1, 8, 9). The substrate specificities of RIG-I and MDA5 have not been clearly established, although from RNA transfection experiments in knockout cells it appears that RIG-I recognizes RNA of various lengths with 5′-triphosphates and some partial double-stranded characteristics, whereas MDA5 senses only very long dsRNA molecules (>2,000 nt) in a phosphate-independent manner (10–14). All RLRs are members of the DEATD/H family of RNA helicases and contain an ATP-dependent helicase domain and a C-terminal regulatory domain (RD). The N termini of RIG-I and MDA5 contain two tandem CARD domains required for downstream signaling through their adaptor, MAVS (15–18). The RD domain of RIG-I is responsible for recognition and binding to its RNA substrates in a 5′-phosphate–dependent manner, whereas the helicase domain has affinity for dsRNA (19–21). In uninfected cells RIG-I is thought to exist in an inactive state; the C-terminal RD domain is proposed to interact with the N-terminal CARD domain and block it from association with MAVS. RNA binding to the RD of RIG-I likely induces a conformational change in the protein, resulting in CARD exposure and association with the CARD domain of MAVS.

Because both RIG-I and MDA5 are localized in the cytoplasm, it is imperative for these receptors to be able to distinguish self RNA from viral RNA to prevent IFN production in the absence of infection. The characteristics of RNA molecules capable of activating RIG-I have been well established through numerous biochemical and knockout studies. The signature features of RIG-I agonists are a 5′-triphosphate group at the end of an RNA molecule longer than at least 19 nt and some dsRNA regions (10, 11). Additionally, 5′ppp containing RNAs rich in U residues have been found to act as more potent inducers of RIG-I, indicating that sequence composition might play a role in activation (22). It is yet unclear whether ssRNA, even in the presence of a 5′-triphosphate group, is capable of inducing RIG-I activity, and at least in the case of shorter RNA molecules it appears that some double-stranded characteristics are required for its activation (12, 13).

Although RNA molecules capable of inducing RIG-I have been well characterized, it remains to be seen which if any of these RNAs are actually interacting with RIG-I in virus-infected cells. A recent study using overexpressed RIG-I has shown that this protein associates with negative stranded viral RNA in Sendai virus-infected (SeV) cells and concluded that genomic RNA serves as a inducer of RIG-I signaling (3). In our study we examine SeV-infected cells and analyze RNA molecules that interact with endogenous RIG-I protein both early and late in viral infection. By applying deep sequencing analysis to examine the isolated RNA species we were able to identify the exact nature of RIG-I–associated viral RNA in an unbiased manner. Through this approach we determined that in SeV-infected cells, RIG-I specifically associates with the defective interfering RNA genomes and not with the full-length genomes, mRNA, and leader or trailer RNAs. The immunostimulatory effects of RIG-I–associated RNA in SeV-infected cells were abolished upon removal of all three or two 5′-terminal phosphates. In influenza PR8 ANS1 virus-infected cells we observed that RIG-I associates with all genomic segments, but preferentially associates with shorter RNA molecules, such as the NS and M segments, and the internal deletion defective interfering (DI) particles generated by PB1 and PA segments. On the basis of our work we conclude that under natural infection conditions RIG-I preferentially associates with shorter viral RNAs that contain 5′-triphosphates and some dsRNA regions. This study represents a unique analysis of endogenous RIG-I/pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) complexes present during viral infections.
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**Results**

**Isolation of RIG-I/RNA Complexes from Virus-infected Cells.** To analyze endogenous RNA substrates of RIG-I, A549 human lung carcinoma cells were infected with Sendai virus Cantel (SeV-C) at a high multiplicity of infection (MOI) and infection was allowed to proceed for 24 h. At this time the cells were lysed and RIG-I/RNA complexes were immunoprecipitated with a monoclonal antibody against RIG-I. Fig. 1 outlines the overall schematic for the experimental procedure. To make sure that we could distinguish between RIG-I–associated RNA and RNA precipitated in a nonspecific way, an anti-GFP antibody was used in parallel. As can be seen from Fig. 1B, precipitation of endogenous RIG-I was very efficient and the vast majority of RIG-I protein was isolated from cell lysates. Following immunoprecipitation (IP), communoprecipitated RNA was isolated by phenol/chlorophorm extraction and transfected into a 293T ISRE-FF (containing a firefly luciferase gene under the control of an IFN-stimulated promoter) reporter cell line to analyze the immunostimulatory activity of the isolated RNA species. Transfection of RIG-I–associated RNA into the reporter cells resulted in a 90-fold increase in reporter activity compared with the GFP control, indicating that the immunostimulatory RNA was specifically pulled down in association with RIG-I (Fig. 1C). Comparison of ISRE-FF activity between RIG-I–associated RNA and decreasing amounts of total RNA from SeV-C–infected A549 cells revealed that RIG-I immunoprecipitation greatly concentrates the immunostimulatory activity of the RNA generated in virus-infected cells, demonstrating that RIG-I pulldown is specifically enriching for immunogenic RNA (Fig. 1D).

**Biochemical Analysis of RIG-I–Associated RNA from SeV-C–Infected Cells.** Previous studies have shown that multiple types of RNA molecules are capable of activating RIG-I. These RNAs have been described to contain 5′-triphosphates, diphosphates and monophosphates, and 3′-monophosphates; to be either single- or double-stranded; and to span the length from 19 to thousands of nucleotides long (3, 10–14, 20, 21, 23–25). Enzymatic analysis of immunoprecipitated RNA bound to RIG-I allowed us to characterize the biochemical nature of endogenous RIG-I inducers in SeV-infected cells. Treatment of isolated RNA with RNAséA led to complete loss of immunostimulatory activity in both RIG-I–associated RNA and control RNA, confirming that the PAMPs associated with RIG-I in SeV-infected cells are indeed RNA molecules (Fig. 2A). To address the phosphate composition of RIG-I–associated RNA we treated the isolated RNA with calf alkaline phosphatase (CIP), an enzyme that removes all 5′ and 3′ phosphates. Treatment of RIG-I IP RNA with CIP resulted in complete loss of its immunostimulatory activity similarly to control influenza virus genomic RNA, and in contrast to poly(I:C), a synthetic dsRNA molecule with a 5′ monophosphate that does not rely on phosphate composition for its immunostimulatory activity (Fig. 2B). Treatment of RIG-I–associated RNA with tobacco acid pyrophosphatase (TAP), which removes the first two terminal phosphates and leaves on a monophosphate group, also led to a complete loss of immunostimulatory activity, demonstrating that RNA species associated with RIG-I during SeV infection require an intact 5′ triphosphate for immunogenicity (Fig. 2C).

**Deep Sequencing of RIG-I–Associated RNA from SeV-C–Infected Cells.** To identify the exact nature of RIG-I–associated RNA in an unbiased manner, deep sequencing analysis was performed on the isolated RNA species. The RNA was prepared for sequencing according to Illumina mRNA-seq protocol and sequenced on the Illumina Genome Analyzer. The Illumina platform provides deep coverage, on the order of 10–25 million reads per sequencing sample with relatively short length reads of 29 nt. On the basis of sample preparation methodology both negative and positive sense RNAs are amplified in an identical way and the two forms cannot be distinguished when mapped to their genomic location. Sequences were mapped to the SeV genome and relative abundances of these sequences between RIG-I pulldown and GFP control pulldown, as well as total RNA from SeV-infected cells, were compared. Fig. 3A shows the graphical representation of sequences mapped to the SeV genome. Individual peaks on the graph correspond to a sequencing read that starts at that particular position and extends in either direction. The x axis corresponds to all possible 15,384 positions in the SeV genome, and the
We sequenced viral RNA isolated from puriﬁed processing of viral RNA. To make sure that this is the case to real differences in RNA abundances that could be due to cellular processing of viral RNA. To make sure that this is the case we sequenced viral RNA isolated from puriﬁed Sendai virus. Analysis of peak distributions across the same region of the genome (i.e., positions 14,900 and 15,384) revealed that the same nucleotide positions were overrepresented in the puriﬁed virus RNA as in our IP samples. On the basis of this evidence we conclude that the variation in peak intensities observed between adjacent genomic positions is due to sequencing biases introduced by the Illumina platform. Examination of SeV sequences from total cellular RNA clearly illustrated that the vast majority of viral RNA mapped to the 5′ end of the SeV genome [Fig. 3A (teal color) and Fig. S1]. Speciﬁcally, RNA mapping to a region of the genome between positions 14,932 and 15,384 was much more abundant in infected cells than RNA mapping to the rest of the SeV genome. Because it is known from previous studies that the SeV-C copy-back DI particle genome maps to precisely those positions, we concluded that the majority (∼95%) of viral RNA species present in infected cells at 24 h postinfection (hpi) are of a copy-back DI nature (26). Comparison of RIG-I–associated RNA with that of the control IP revealed that the RIG-I pulldown was speciﬁcally enriched in DI RNA, with RIG-I samples containing approximately seven times more DI RNA than control samples (Fig. 3A–C). None of the other SeV RNAs, including genomic RNA, mRNAs, and leader or trailer were overrepresented in the RIG-I pulldown (Fig. 3A Lower). SeV DI copyback genomes consist of the SeV trailer sequence at the 5′ end, followed by the partial sequence of the L gene and a sequence that is the exact complement to the trailer (antisatellite) at the 3′ end of the molecule (Fig. 3B). This unique DI genome structure results in a 546-nt RNA molecule with a relatively long perfect dsRNA portion (92 nt) (very different from typical RNA virus genomes, which contain only short regions of perfect dsRNA). Visualization of a 550-nt band on the Agilent bioanalyzer RNA chip in the RIG-I sample but not in the control IP supports the conclusion that the DI genome is preferentially interacting with RIG-I (Fig. 2D). Identification of copy-back DIs as a RIG-I PAMP agrees with previous characterization of these molecules as exceptionally good inducers of the IFN response (26).

Analysis of RIG-I–Associated RNA at Early Times of SeV Infection. We next determined whether the same or different viral RNA species are associated with RIG-I relatively early in infection. We followed the same approach as described for the 24 h infection with the exception of lysing cells 4 h postinfection. As can be seen from Fig. S2A, we were able to isolate immunostimulatory RNA in a RIG-I–speciﬁc manner from these cells, and this RNA was again subjected to deep sequencing analysis. The relative amount of DI genomes in these cells was lower than at the 24-h time point with ∼34% of SeV RNA molecules mapping to the DI genome. Despite DI’s lower abundance in these cells it was again found to be the only Sendai RNA that was speciﬁcally associating with RIG-I and we did not see any RIG-I–speciﬁc binding of the full-length genome (Fig. S2B and C). Therefore it appears that RIG-I interacts with the same SeV-derived RNA molecule both early and late in infection, namely DI RNA.

Confirmation of Deep Sequencing Data with Quantitative PCR. To validate our deep sequencing analysis with an independent method we chose to perform TaqMan Q-PCR RNA quantiﬁcation. On the basis of the unique structure of the SeV copy-back DI, with the 3′ end of the molecule containing an antisatellite (Fig. 3B), it is possible to design PCR primers that will detect only the DI RNA and not the full-length genome, L mRNA, or trailer RNA. Comparison of relative abundances of DI RNA and genome RNA/L mRNA between total RNA from infected cells, RIG-I IP and GFP IP at 24 hpi conﬁrmed that only DI-specific
sequences were enriched in RIG-I IPs (Fig. S3A), validating conclusions from our deep sequencing data. To obtain sense-specific information about ratios of DI genome to full-length genome (excluding L mRNA sequences) we performed the same Q-PCR analysis except with sense-specific RT amplification. Again we saw that only DI genomic RNA and not the full-length genome was preferentially interacting with RIG-I (Fig. S3C). We also attempted to analyze RIG-I-associated RNA very early on in SeV-infected cells by allowing the infection to progress for only 30 min or 1 h. Immunoprecipitation of RIG-I at these early time points did not produce any immunostimulatory RNA (Fig. S3B) nor could we detect any significant differences in either full-length genome or DI genome abundance in RIG-I-associated RNA (Fig. S3C). This failure to detect immunostimulatory RNA very early in infection could possibly be due to limited sensitivity of our methodology or requirement for higher levels of virus replication to induce the antiviral response.

Isolation and Deep Sequencing of RIG-I–Associated RNA from Influenza PR8ΔNS1 Virus Infections. We next attempted to characterize RNA molecules associated with RIG-I during influenza virus infection, as it possesses a very different genome organization and replication cycle compared with Sendai virus. Because wild-type influenza virus is very efficient at blocking IFN induction through the action of its well-characterized IFN antagonist NS1 (27), we decided to infect A549 cells with PR8ΔNS1 virus. This mutant virus is lacking the RNA sequence that codes for the NS1 protein and therefore it is unable to block IFN production and RIG-I up-regulation in infected cells. Isolation of RIG-I/RNA complexes from A549 cells infected with a high MOI of PR8ΔNS1 virus produced RNA that was specifically immunostimulatory upon transfection into the 293T ISRE-FF reporter cell line compared with the RNA isolated from the control anti-GFP pulldown (Fig. 4B). Again to identify which viral RNA species were specifically interacting with RIG-I in infected cells we performed deep sequencing analysis of all isolated RNA. The obtained sequences were mapped to the influenza virus PR8 genomes and analyzed for abundances between the RIG-I IP sample and the control IP sample. Fig. 4A shows the obtained sequencing reads mapped to each segment of the influenza virus genome. For all genomic segments we saw a higher abundance of RNA in RIG-I IP samples than in the control samples, with the average ratio between RIG-I IP and control of 2.6. To establish that this difference represents a significant change in abundance between the two samples we compared the relative abundances of eight randomly picked cellular mRNAs from the same sequencing dataset. The average ratio between RIG-I IP and the control IP for these eight mRNAs was 1.1 (Table S1). Therefore, we conclude that we have identified RNAs that specifically interact with RIG-I in the course of influenza virus infection.

To see whether specific regions within the individual genome segments were more enriched in the RIG-I pulldown we calculated the RIG-I IP/control IP ratio at each nucleotide position on each segment. These ratios were then averaged over 100-nt intervals and allowed us to visualize the relative enrichment ratios over the length of each genomic segment (Fig. 5). This analysis revealed that the 5′ and 3′ regions in the PB1 and PA segments were more overrepresented in RIG-I pulldowns than the rest of those segments. We hypothesized that these regions might represent internal deletion DI particles that have previously been shown to be associated with influenza virus replication (28). RT-PCR of the PA gene with primers corresponding to the ends of the segment indeed produced a 650-nt product that was not observed in the same RT-PCR performed with purified RNA from PR8 influenza virus virions; this RNA was sequenced and confirmed to map to the ends of the PA segment. Comparison of RIG-I IP/ control IP RNA ratios between the segments identifies the two DI RNAs from PA and PB1 segments as well as the NS and M segments as being the most enriched RNA molecules in the RIG-I pulldown (Fig. 5). On the basis of these observations we propose that RIG-I binds to all segments of the flu genome but preferentially associates with shorter RNA molecules such as the shorter influenza virus segments and short DI particles generated from the larger segments.

Immunostimulatory Activity of Individual PR8 RNA Genomic Segments. To check that RIG-I–associated viral RNA molecules identified in our pulldowns could act as PAMPs and induce an antiviral response, we generated six of these RNAs by T7 promoter-driven in vitro transcription. The size and purity of
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Fig. 4. Immunoprecipitation and deep sequencing analysis of RIG-I-associated RNA from 24-h influenza virus infections. (A) Sequencing reads from RIG-I and control (GFP) IPs were mapped to the individual PR8 influenza virus genomic segments. Each peak corresponds to the beginning position of that particular read and the number of sequences starting at that position is represented on the y axis. RIG-I enrichment (blue) can be observed on all genomic segments. (B) Transfection of RIG-I-associated RNA into the ISRE-FF reporter cells shows high immunostimulatory activity of this RNA compared with the control (GFP) IP.

produced RNAs were checked by denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. S4A). Transfection of equimolar ratios of these RNAs into the ISRE-FF reporter cells resulted in induction of the FF reporter, indicating that all of these RNAs were indeed immunogenic (Fig. S4B). With the exception of NA RNA, which gave the lowest amount of induction, we did not see any significant differences in reporter stimulation between the different flu RNAs, and thus immunogenicity of these RNAs was not proportional to RNA length. Currently we do not understand the molecular basis or significance of the observed differences in RIG-I association between various influenza RNAs. These differences could potentially reflect variability in RNA segment accessibility to RIG-I during the course of infection, either structurally or spatially. Also, because T7 transcribed RNAs are naked RNAs transfected into cells, their localization, stability, and interactions with RIG-I might be fundamentally different from those that take place during viral infection.

Discussion

By subjecting the RNA to both biochemical and deep sequencing analysis we have been able to pinpoint the exact nature of RNA molecules that interact with RIG-I during the course of SeV and influenza virus infections and induce the antiviral response through the RIG-I signaling pathway. The application of deep sequencing techniques allowed us to examine the RNA molecules without any a priori assumptions about their identity and eliminated biases commonly introduced by more traditional methods such as Northern and quantitative PCR where the selection of primers and probes can greatly influence the observed result. We conclude that viral replicating RNA constitutes the majority of the viral immunostimulatory RNA associated with RIG-I. In infections with both viruses we observed that shorter molecules preferentially associate with RIG-I over longer ones even though all of these RNAs should contain identical 5′-triphosphorylated ends. The preference of RIG-I for shorter substrates might explain why influenza virus has been shown to be exclusively recognized by RIG-I unlike most other RNA viruses that normally rely on both RIG-I and MDA5 recognition. All of the molecules we have identified as specifically interacting with RIG-I also contain some dsRNA regions directly adjacent to the triphosphate, supporting conclusions from previous work that this panhandle-type architecture of the RNA molecule may be preferentially recognized by RIG-I (12, 13). The identification of the influenza virus NS segment and SeV DI RNA as preferred substrates of RIG-I also agrees with their predicted roles as RIG-I PAMPs on the basis of the U-rich composition of this RNA (22). It will be very interesting to see what types of RNAs associate with RIG-I in infections with other viruses and whether generation of DI particles by Mononegavirales members is a prerequisite for RIG-I activation. The extent of secondary structure characteristics in viral RNA would be expected to vary highly between various viruses and the exact role these differences might play in RIG-I activation is an interesting and complex question. The fact that CIP and TAP treatment led to complete elimination of DI particles by Mononegavirales members is a prerequisite for RIG-I association between various influenza virus RNA and RIG-I versus control (GFP) immunoprecipitations. For each genomic segment ratios of RIG-IIP sequencing reads were calculated at each nucleotide position. The average of these ratios for every 100 nt was calculated and is shown.
particles preferentially associate with RIG-I provides an explanation for the historical observation that viruses containing these particles act as superior IFN inducers (26, 29). The approach we used in our work provides a powerful tool for analysis of RIG-I-associated RNA from various viral infections in multiple cell types as well as other protein/RNA complexes.

Materials and Methods

RIG-I/RNA Complex Immunoprecipitation. A549 human lung carcinoma cells were infected with a high MOI of SEV-C or PR8NS1 viruses. Infections were allowed to proceed for 24 or 4 h and the cells were washed five times with cold PBS and lysed in a 0.5% Nonidet P-40 buffer. Lysates were frozen at −80 °C before being subjected to immunoprecipitation with either an anti-RIG-I antibody or an anti-6×His antibody (Abcam ab1218). Fractions from IPs were obtained during the process and frozen for future protein analysis. RNA was isolated from agarose beads by proteinase K treatment in SDS buffer and phenol/chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation. Immunostimulatory activity of isolated RNA was analyzed by transfecting a small fraction of RNA into a 293T ISRE-FF reporter cell line and measurement of FF activity.

Biochemical Analysis of RNA. Isolated RNA was subjected to treatment with RTases (Qiagen), CIP (Promega), and TAP (Epitrent) and transfected into the 293T ISRE-FF reporter cell line following each treatment to assay potential loss of immunostimulatory activity. As controls, purified IFNβ virus RNA, purified SEV-C RNA, total RNA from SEV-C-infected cells, and poly(I:C) were used in various experiments. Viral RNA was isolated from sucrose cushion purified virus with phenol/chloroform or TRizol extraction, and total cellular RNA was isolated with TRizol extraction. Agilent RNA chip analysis was performed at the microarray facility at Mount Sinai School of Medicine using the mRNA chip.

Deep Sequencing Analysis of RNA. Total RNA isolated from immunoprecipitations or from cell lysates was prepared for Illumina sequencing using the mRNA-Seq (Illumina) sample preparation kit according to manufacturer’s instruction. To analyze all RNA species present, the initial poly(A) RNA isolation step was omitted. Because ribosomal RNA presented an overwhelming portion of all RNA in either immunoprecipitations or total cellular RNA, a RiboMinus Eukaryote Kit for RNA-Seq (Invitrogen) was used before deep sequencing to remove such large portion of cellular species. The RNA was checked following ribosomal RNA removal for its ability to induce the ISRE-FF reporter, thereby excluding the removed ribosomal sequences as possible inducers of RIG-I. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina Genome Analyzer in the Mount Sinai sequencing facility. Obtained sequences were mapped to human and viral genomes and relative abundances were analyzed between RIG-I pulldown and control samples. Average ratios for influenza virus genomic segments between RIG-I pulldowns and control pulldowns were calculated by determining the relative sequence abundance at each position on the genomic segment and calculating the average of those ratios over every 100 nt.

TaqMan Quantitative PCR Analysis. Q-PCR analysis was performed with utilization of Roche LightCycler 480 technology. All Q-PCR reactions incorporated multiplexed human actin β internal controls and relative abundance of each RNA was calculated with reference to this control.

T7 RNA Transcription. Templates for T7 RNA transcription were synthesized from PR8 pd2 plasmids coding for individual RNA segments of influenza PR8 virus (30). T7 SEV-C DI particle template was created by RT amplification of SEV DI RNA from infected cells. A truncated T7 promoter was added to each DNA segment by PCR. T7 transcription reactions were carried out with a T7 MEGAscript kit (Ambion). RNA was purified with RNAeasy columns (Qiagen) and analyzed on denaturing agarose gels for correct size and purity.
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**LETTERS**

**IkBβ acts to inhibit and activate gene expression during the inflammatory response**

Ping Rao\(^1\), Mathew S. Hayden\(^1,2\), Meixiao Long\(^1,2\), Martin L. Scott\(^3\), A. Philip West\(^1\), Dekai Zhang\(^1\), Andrea Oeckinghaus\(^1,2\), Candace Lynch\(^1\), Alexander Hoffmann\(^1\), David Baltimore\(^1\) & Sankar Ghosh\(^1,2\)

The activation of pro-inflammatory gene programs by nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) is primarily regulated through cytoplasmic sequestration of NF-κB by the inhibitor of κB (IκB) family of proteins. IκBβ, a major isoform of IκB, can sequester NF-κB in the cytoplasm\(^2\), although its biological role remains unclear. Although cells lacking IκBβ have been reported\(^3,4\), in vivo studies have been limited and suggested redundancy between IκBα and IκBβ. Like IκBα, IκBβ is also inducibly degraded; however, upon stimulation by lipopolysaccharide (LPS), it is degraded slowly and re-synthesized as a hypophosphorylated form that can be detected in the nucleus\(^5-8\). The crystal structure of IκBβ bound to p65 suggested this complex might bind DNA\(^9\). In vitro, hypophosphorylated IκBα can bind DNA with p65 and c-Rel, and the DNA-bound NF-κB:IkBα complexes are resistant to IκBα, suggesting hypophosphorylated, nuclear IκBα may prolong the expression of certain genes\(^10-12\). Here we report that in vivo IκBα serves both to inhibit and facilitate the inflammatory response. IκBα degradation releases NF-κB dimers which upregulate pro-inflammatory target genes such as tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α). Surprisingly, absence of IκBα results in a dramatic reduction of TNF-α in response to LPS even though activation of NF-κB is normal. The inhibition of TNF-α messenger RNA (mRNA) expression correlates with the absence of nuclear, hypophosphorylated-IκBα bound to p65:c-Rel heterodimers at a specific κB site on the TNF-α promoter. Therefore IκBα acts through p65:c-Rel dimers to maintain prolonged expression of TNF-α. As a result, IκBβ\(^2/2\) mice are resistant to LPS-induced septic shock and collagen-induced arthritis. Blocking IκBα might be a promising new strategy for selectively inhibiting the chronic phase of TNF-α production during the inflammatory response.

To understand the biological function of IκBβ better, we studied mice lacking the IκBβ gene. Homologous recombination was used to delete most of the IκBβ coding sequences (30–308 amino acids) including elements essential for binding to NF-κB (Supplementary Fig. 2\(^a,12\)). Absence of IκBβ was confirmed by immunoblotting of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs; Supplementary Fig. 2). Although IκBβ is expressed broadly, including in haematopoietic organs (Supplementary Fig. 3a), the IκBβ knockout mice breed and develop normally without any obvious phenotypic defects.

NF-κB and IκB proteins function in an integrated network. Hence reduced expression of one component may cause compensatory changes in levels of other proteins\(^3,13\). However, expression levels of IκBα, IκBβ, p65, RelB, c-Rel, p105 and p100 were unaffected in IκBβ\(^2/2\) mice (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Increased NF-κB activity has been observed in other IκB knockouts\(^16-18\), and increased basal NF-κB reporter activity was observed in IκBβ\(^+/−\) MEFs (Fig. 1a). Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) demonstrated increased basal NF-κB activity in IκBβ\(^+/−\) cells (60%) (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Conversely, overexpression of IκBβ inhibits NF-κB activation (Supplementary Fig. 3d). Thus IκBβ inhibits NF-κB and degradation or loss of IκBβ contributes to NF-κB activity. NF-κB reporter assays reveal that absolute NF-κB activity in response to LPS, IL-1β or TNF-α is slightly higher in the IκBβ\(^+/−\) than wild-type cells (Fig. 1a). However, the kinetics of NF-κB activation by EMSA, and the pattern of NF-κB degradation by immunoblotting, in cells stimulated with LPS, IL-1β or TNF-α were not demonstrably different in IκBβ\(^+/−\) cells (Supplementary Fig. 4). Thus, loss of IκBβ results in a modest elevation in basal NF-κB activity, whereas inducible NF-κB activation is relatively unaffected.

NF-κB regulates the expression of many genes, in particular those involved in inflammation and immune responses\(^19\). To determine whether IκBβ has a role in the inflammatory response, IκBβ\(^+/−\) and IκBβ\(^−/−\) mice were challenged with LPS. Surprisingly, IκBβ\(^−/−\) mice were significantly resistant to the induction of shock (Fig. 1b). We therefore examined the serum levels of the key acute phase cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 (ref. 20) after LPS injection. In wild-type mice TNF-α production peaked 1 h after LPS injection, whereas IL-6 and IL-1β production peaked around 2 h, in agreement with previous studies\(^21\). Although serum IL-6 and IL-1β were reduced (approximately 25%) in the IκBβ\(^−/−\) mice, the reduction of TNF-α levels (greater than 70%) was more striking (Fig. 1c). As the peak of serum TNF-α precedes that of IL-1β and IL-6, it is likely that the reduction of IL-1β and IL-6 is secondary. As monocytes and macrophages are major sources of systemic TNF-α, we analysed LPS-induced cytokines in thioglycollate-elicted peritoneal macrophages (TEPMs). Although equivalent macrophage populations were obtained from the mice (Supplementary Fig. 5a), production of TNF-α, but not IL-6, was drastically reduced in IκBβ\(^−/−\) TEPMs (Fig. 1d).

To understand how IκBβ affects TNF-α synthesis we examined each step of TNF-α production. Secreted TNF-α was detectable by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) after 2 h of LPS stimulation and by 4 h was significantly impaired in IκBβ\(^−/−\) TEPMs (Fig. 2a). IL-6 production was equivalent (Fig. 2a). We examined the level of pro-TNF-α by intracellular fluorescence-activated cell sorting and found there was very little pro-TNF-α detected in the IκBβ\(^−/−\) TEPMs, even after 8 h of LPS stimulation (Fig. 2b). The average amount of pro-TNF-α produced was two- to threefold higher in wild-type than IκBβ\(^−/−\) TEPMs (Fig. 2c). Consistent with this
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difference in protein levels, steady-state TNF-α mRNA was decreased two- to sixfold in the *IkBβ−/−* TEPMs compared with wild-type cells (Fig. 2d). Although TNF-α mRNA is known to be regulated post-transcriptionally22,23, there was no difference in TNF-α mRNA stability between wild-type and *IkBβ−/−* TEPMs (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Therefore, *IkBβ* promotes TNF-α transcription.

To understand how *IkBβ* affects TNF-α transcription, we investigated which NF-κB subunits were associated with *IkBβ* in macrophages. It is known that *IkBβ* associates with p65:p50 and c-Rel:p50 complexes24 through direct binding to p65 and c-Rel but not p50 (ref. 6). However, we found that *IkBβ* could be immunoprecipitated only with p65 and c-Rel, but not p50 (Fig. 3a). Both immunoprecipitations with anti-p65 and anti-c-Rel antibodies pull down *IkBβ*, IκBα and IκBβ. Thus, there are p65:p50 and inducible c-Rel:p50 complexes that are associated with IκBα or other IκBs, but not *IkBβ*. Reciprocal immunoprecipitation of *p65* with c-Rel and both *p65* and c-Rel with *IkBβ* suggests a p65:c-Rel heterodimer associated with *IkBβ* (Fig. 3b). To demonstrate the association of *IkBβ* with p65:c-Rel, we performed sequential immunoprecipitations by first immunoprecipitating *IkBβ* and then immunoprecipitating the eluted *IkBβ* complexes with anti-c-Rel antibody. The presence of p65 in the anti-c-Rel immunoprecipitate confirms the presence of an *IkBβ:p65:c-Rel* complex (Fig. 3c).
gel-shift patterns. κB3 and κB2a show two major bands (only κB3 is shown in Fig. 3g) whereas κB2 shows three major inducible shift bands. The components of the bands were identified by super-shift assay (Fig. 3g, right panel). The top band in the κB2 gel-shift is mostly p65c-Rel. Interestingly, the κB2 site possesses features predicted to favor p65c-Rel binding (Supplementary Fig. 6c). Similar κB binding sites in the CD40 and CXCL1 promoters also demonstrated coordinate recruitment of κB5, p65 and c-Rel (Supplementary Fig. 6d). Furthermore, deletion of the κB2 site from a TNF-α promoter reporter abrogated κB5-dependent reporter gene expression (Supplementary Fig. 7). In κB5−/− BMDMs, the p65c-Rel complex binding to the κB2 in EMSA assays is missing (Fig. 3h), in agreement with the immunoprecipitation result. Therefore optimal TNF-α transcription requires a p65c-Rel complex, stabilized by hypophosphorylated κB5, binding to the κB2 site in the TNF-α promoter.

To identify other genes affected by κB5 deficiency, we examined gene expression profiles in wild-type and κB5−/− BMDMs (Fig. 4a). As expected, TNF-α and κB5 are among the genes whose expression is affected by κB5 deficiency whereas IL-6 and IL-1β are not affected (Fig. 4b). Of the genes whose expression is reduced in the κB5−/− cells, we identified 14 with expression patterns resembling TNF-α (Fig. 4b). The expression of these genes was also reduced in p65, c-Rel or p50/c-Rel knockout fetal liver macrophages, which suggests that LPS-induced expression of these genes might depend on a mechanism similar to TNF-α (data not shown). The expression of TNF-α, IL-1α, IL-6 and IL-1β in response to LPS was further examined by RNase protection (Fig. 4c) and reverse transcription with quantitative real-time PCR (qRT–PCR) (Supplementary Fig. 8), which demonstrated that the reduction in persistent expression of TNF-α in κB5−/− cells is unique. Reduced IL-12b mRNA and protein secretion in the knockout TEPMs was confirmed by qRT–PCR (Fig. 4d) and ELISA (Fig. 4e). Notably, transcription of IL-12b, which has a κB site similar to κB2 of TNF-α (Supplementary Fig. 6c), has previously been shown to require c-Rel and be partly dependent on p65 (ref. 26). Thus, only a select group of NF-kB-dependent genes are diminished similarly to TNF-α upon κB5 deletion. As TNF-α plays a key role in inflammation, we wanted to test whether κB5−/− deletion would affect the course of inflammatory diseases.

Figure 3 | κB5 is recruited to the promoter of TNF-α with p65 and c-Rel.

a, Raw264.7 were stimulated with LPS and immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-κB5 (a), anti-p65 (b) or anti-c-Rel (b) antibodies and immunoblotted as indicated. c, LPS-stimulated Raw264.7 lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-κB5, eluted with κB5 peptide, immunoprecipitated with anti-c-Rel antibody and immunoblotted as indicated. d, Raw264.7 lysates were subjected to chromatin immunoprecipitation as indicated and analysed by qPCR targeting TNF-α and IL-6 promoter κB sites; error bars, s.d. (n = 3).

b, iκBα was treated as in a with p65 and c-Rel.

d, iκBα and c-Rel binding to the TNF-α promoter was confirmed by supershift with the immunoprecipitation result. Therefore optimal TNF-α transcription requires a p65:c-Rel complex, stabilized by hypophosphorylated κB5, binding to the κB2 site in the TNF-α promoter.

e, Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as in d on wild-type and κB5−/− BMDMs treated with LPS for 2 h; error bars, s.d. (n = 3). f, BMDMs treated as in e were immunoprecipitated with anti-p65 antibody.

g, RAW264.7 were treated with LPS and nuclear extracts were subjected to EMSA with TNF-α κB3 or κB2 probes. Super shifts were performed using cells stimulated for 1 h. h, BMDMs were treated with LPS and EMSA and supershifts with the κB2 probe were performed as in g.
Rheumatoid arthritis is a common inflammatory disease with morbidity resulting from ongoing release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, and consequent destruction of joint tissue.[27] Previous studies have shown that NF-κB plays a key role in mouse models of arthritis and that blocking NF-κB has a dramatic effect in preventing disease.[28,29] Rheumatoid arthritis can also be effectively treated by anti-TNFα therapies, although there are significant side-effects.[30] The ability to block only persistent TNF-α expression would be therapeutic without blocking beneficial TNF-α responses, including the expression of innate immune response genes. We therefore tested whether the lack of IκBα altered the course of collagen-induced arthritis, a well-characterized mouse model of rheumatoid arthritis.

To induce collagen-induced arthritis, we immunized DBA/1J mice with bovine type II collagen. IκBβ/−/− mice displayed delayed onset, lower incidence and decreased severity of collagen-induced arthritis (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Fig. 9). Inflammation in the wild-type mice extended from the paws and digits to the ankle joints and distally through the limb (data not shown). In contrast, IκBβ−/− mice showed minimal visual signs of paw and joint swelling (Supplementary Fig. 9c). Serum TNF-α was markedly decreased in IκBβ/−/− mice whereas other pro-inflammatory cytokines were not significantly affected (Fig. 4g and Supplementary Fig. 10). Therefore the absence of IκBα limits the progression and severity of arthritis by reducing the chronic production of TNF-α.

The results presented above demonstrate a dual role for IκBβ during the early stages of LPS stimulation, NF-κB complexes released by IκBβ degradation contribute to the initial expression of TNF-α (Supplementary Fig. 1). Then, newly synthesized hypophosphorylated IκBβ facilitates the formation of IκBβ:p65:Rel complexes, which selectively bind to the κB2 site in the TNF-α promoter, augmenting transcription. As shown in the gene chip and RNase protection assays, this is a relatively selective function and IκBβ/−/− mice are, therefore, otherwise normal. Hence targeting IκBβ might be a promising new strategy to treat chronic inflammatory diseases such as arthritis.

**METHODS SUMMARY**

**Mice.** IκBβ-deficient mice were generated by standard homologous recombination in the C57 ES cell line using a targeting construct that replaced exons 2 to 5 with a G418-resistance gene. Screened ES cell clones were injected into blastocysts derived from C57BL/6 mice to give rise to IκBβ/−/−/IκBβ+/− chimaeras. Germine transmission of the disrupted allele was obtained and verified by Southern blotting and PCR, and mice were backcrossed at least ten generations onto the C57BL/6 background. Mice were backcrossed at least eight generations onto the DBA background for collagen-induced arthritis experiments. Mice were maintained in pathogen-free animal facilities at Yale Medical School.

**Cells.** Wild-type and IκBβ knockout MEFs were generated from embryos at embryonic day 12.5 after timed breeding of IκBβ+/−/− animals. TEPMs were obtained from 6- to 8-week-old littermate mice 3 days after intraperitoneal injection with thioglycollate. BMDMs were collected by standard protocols and differentiated with 30% IL29 supernatant-conditioned media.

**Biochemistry.** Cell fractionation, western blotting, EMSA, and immunoprecipitations were performed as previously described unless otherwise indicated.[4] LPS-induced shock. LPS-induced shock was tested by intraperitoneal injection of 50 μg g−1 body weight LPS and monitoring for survival. In a separate identical experiment, the mice were bled at 1 h and 2 h after LPS treatment and the concentrations of TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β in the serum were measured by ELISA.

**Intracellular cytokine analysis.** Pro-TNF-α levels were analysed in TEPMs after LPS stimulation and brefeldin-A treatment. TNF-α was detected after cell permeabilization by using standard intracellular cytokine staining and flow cytometry.

**qRT–PCR.** RNA expression was quantified by two-step SYBR qRT–PCR, and relative mRNA levels were obtained by normalizing the readout for each specific gene by that of β-actin.

**Microarray analysis.** Microarrays for gene expression analyses were performed on BMDMs stimulated with LPS and Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 arrays as per the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Full Methods** and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.
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METHODS

Mice. The IkBα targeting construct contained the G418-resistance gene with recombination arm sequences derived from the genomic sequences flanking IkBα exons 2 and 5 (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Homologous recombination between the targeting construct electroporated into the 129/SV mouse-derived ES cell line C17 and the endogenous IkBα gene replaced the IkBα sequences between exons 2 and 5 with the G418 resistance cassette. Homologous recombination was confirmed by hybridizing Southern blots of XbaI-digested ES DNA with probe, indicated in Supplementary Fig. 2a. Injection of mutant ES cell clones carrying the disrupted IkBα gene into blastocysts derived from C57BLI/6 mice gave rise to IkBα−/− /IkBα−/− chimaeras. Germline-transmittable IkBα−/− mice were obtained by crossing chimaeras with C57BL/6 mice. IkBα−/− mice (129SvEv background) were then backcrossed at least ten generations onto the C57BL/6 background before experiments. Mice used in the experiments were 8 to 11 weeks old derived by either brother–sister mating of IkBα−/− or IkBα+/- littersmates (for age- and sex-matched mice experiments) or IkBα+/- littersmates (for littermate experiments). Backcrossed knock-out and wild-type mice were maintained in pathogen-free animal facilities at Yale Medical School.

Generation of MEFs. Embryos at embryonic day 12.5 from timed breeding of IkBα−/− female and male mice were dissected free of maternal tissues and Reichert’s membrane, washed with PBS, sliced into small pieces and shaken with 0.05% trypsin–EDTA (GIBCO) for 30 min at 37 °C. The cells were suspended in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and plated on 100 mm plates. Wild-type and IkBα−/− MEFs were identified by immunoblotting with IkBα antibody and PCR genotyping using MEF cells.

Antibodies and reagents. Antibodies used were anti-c-Rel, anti-RelB, anti-p52/p100, anti-p50/p105, anti-IκBα, anti-IκBβ (Santa Cruz), anti-GAPDH (Research Diagnostics), anti-p65 (BioMol), FITC-conjugated anti-IκBα (eBioscience), phycocerythrin-conjugated anti-CD11b and APC-conjugated anti-F4/80 (BD Biosciences). Escherichia coli LPS was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (serotype O55:B5). In some experiments LPS from Salmonella typhimurium (Sigma-Aldrich) was used. Recombinant hTNF-α and mIL-1β used in MEF stimulation were from R&D Systems.

Cell fractionation. Cells were incubated in hypotonic buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM FITC-anti-TNF-α (Promega). LPS-induced endotoxin shock. Age matched 8- to 12-week-old IkBα−/− and control mice of both sexes were injected intraperitoneally with 50 µg g−1 body weight LPS (Sigma 55:011). Survival was examined every 8 h for up to 4 days. In a separate identical experiment, the mice were bled at 1 h and 2 h after LPS treatment and the concentration of TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β in the serum was measured by ELISA (BD Biosciences). Thioglycollate-elicted peritoneal macrophages. Six- to 8-week-old littermate mice were injected intraperitoneally with 2 ml of 3% thioglycollate broth (Sigma). Three days later, the mice were killed and their peritoneal cavities were washed with 10 ml cold PBS (Gibco). Cells pellets were washed once with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and cultured at the concentration of 5 × 105 cells per millilitre. Two hours later the dishes were washed with medium to remove non-adherent cells. At least 75% of the remaining adherent cells were macrophages, as analysed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Cells were cultured overnight in DMEM supplemented with only 0.5% FBS. Cells were then stimulated with LPS (1 µg ml−1). TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-12 secreted in the medium were measured by ELISA. In some experiments cells were lysed and total RNA was prepared for qRT–PCR analysis of relative mRNA level.

Measurement of intracellular pro-TNF-α. Intracellular pro-TNF-α was measured in thioglycollate-elicted peritoneal macrophage stimulated with 1 µg ml−1 LPS for 2–8 h. To inhibit pro-TNF-α transportation to the plasma membrane and subsequent cleavage and release of secretive TNF-α, cells were treated with brefeldin A (eBioscience) while being stimulated by LPS. Cells were then scraped off the cell culture plates, washed in PBS and fixed with 1% formaldehyde. After being washed twice in PBS supplemented with 5% calf serum, cells were permeabilized by resuspending in BD Perm/Wash Buffer (BD Biosciences). Intracellular TNF-α was stained by incubating the permeabilized cells with FITC-anti-TNF-α antibody (eBioscience) diluted in BD Perm/Wash Buffer for 30 min on ice. In some experiments, PE-anti-CD11b and APC-anti-F4/80 antibodies were incubated with TNF-α antibody. After washing with the Perm/ Wash Buffer, stained cells were identified by flow cytometry.

BMDM cultures. Macrophages were derived from bone marrow following a standard protocol. Briefly, bone marrow cells were plated overnight to remove stromal cells and mature resident macrophages. Non-adherent cells were transferred to new plates and differentiated with 30% L929 supernatant-conditioned media over 7 days. Macrophages (7 × 105 cells per millilitre) were allowed to adhere overnight and stimulated with 1 µg ml−1 LPS.

qRT–PCR. RNAs were prepared using RNeasy Kit (Qiagen). RNA expression was quantified by two-step SYBR real-time RT–PCR (Stratagene). Relative mRNA level was obtained by normalizing the readout of a specific gene by that of β-actin. Oligonucleotide sequences used in quantitative PCR are available upon request.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation. RAW264.7 or BMDM cells were cross linked in 1% formaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min and lysed in RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.3 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton-X 100, 0.1% NaDOC, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg ml−1 pepstatin A). After centrifugation at 1,700g for 5 min, the pellet nuclei were sonicated and chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed according to standard Upstate protocol. Immunoprecipitated chromatin was dissolved in 20 µl water and precipitated DNAs for the kB sites in TNF-α and IL-6 promoter were assayed by SYBR green qPCR with the following primers: TNF-α-fr: TGAGTGTAAGTACGCTAGCA; TNF-α-br: AGGACGAGCGTTGAGTTGGGAAGT; IL-6-fr: CGA TGCTAAGAACGACCTACATTGTGCAC; IL-6-br: CCTCGAAGACGAGATAATGAGCTACAGCAT. AGCAT.

Microarray analysis. BMDMs were stimulated with LPS (1 µg ml−1) and RNA was prepared with Qiagen RNeasy. Complementary DNA preparation and hybridization to Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 Arrays were performed at the Yale W.M. Keck facility.