Loss of DExD/H Box RNA Helicase LGP2 Manifests Disparate Antiviral Responses
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The DExD/H box RNA helicase retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) and the melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) are key intracellular receptors that recognize virus infection to produce type I IFN. A third helicase gene, Lgp2, is homologous to RIG-I and Mda5 but lacks a caspase activation and recruitment domain. We generated Lgp2-deficient mice and report that the loss of this gene greatly sensitizes cells to cytosolic polyinosinic/polycytidylic acid-mediated induction of type I IFN. However, negative feedback inhibition of IFN-β transcription was found to be normal in the absence of LGP2, indicating that LGP2 is not the primary negative regulator of type I IFN production. Our data further indicate that Lgp2−/− mice exhibited resistance to lethal vesicular stomatitis virus infection, a virus whose replicative RNA intermediates are recognized specifically by RIG-I rather than by MDA5 to trigger the production of type I IFN. However, mice lacking LGP2 were observed to exhibit a defect in type I IFN production in response to infection by the encephalomyocarditis virus, the replication of which activates MDA5-dependent innate immune responses. Collectively, our data indicate a disparate regulatory role for LGP2 in the triggering of innate immune signaling pathways following RNA virus infection. The Journal of Immunology, 2007, 178: 6444–6455.

C ellular detection of pathogenic microbes and activation of innate immune responses are now known to involve at least two main types of receptors. The first, referred to as the Toll-like receptors or TLRs have emerged as key surface molecules responsible for recognizing the conserved components of pathogenic microorganisms (referred to as pathogen-associated molecular patterns) (1, 2). The TLRs are evolutionarily conserved and play a key role in innate immune responses to Gram-positive bacteria in Drosophila (3, 4). At least 12 TLR members have now been identified in mammals (1). The TLRs are predominantly expressed on various immune cells in mammals such as macrophages and dendritic cells, and each TLR responds to a different pathogen-associated molecular pattern such as extracellular LPS (TLR 4), dsRNA (TLR 3), and single-stranded RNA (TLR 7/8) (1, 5). Following ligand binding, signaling pathways are initiated through an interaction with adaptor proteins harboring a Toll/IL-1R (TIR) domain, which is also present in the cytosolic region of all TLRs (1, 5). TLRs such as TLR 2, 4, and 5 use a common adaptor protein referred to as MyD88, although alternate adaptor proteins referred to as TRIF/TICAM-1, TRAM, and TIRAP/Mal have also been now identified (1, 5–10). These adaptor proteins interact with members of the death domain-containing IL-1R-associated kinase (IRAK) family such as IRAK-1 and IRAK-4, which are serine-threonine kinases involved in the phosphorylation and activation of TNFR-associated kinase 6 (11–14). The stimulation of TLRs triggers common signaling pathways that culminate in the activation of the transcription factors NF-κB as well as the MAPKs ERK, p38, and JNK (1). In addition, the stimulation of TLR 3 or 4 can activate the transcription factor IFN-regulatory factor 3 (IRF-3) through TRIF-mediated activation of the noncanonical IκB kinase homologues IκB kinase-ε (IKKe) and TANK-binding kinase-1 (TBK1) (15, 16). Activation of the NF-κB-, ERK/JNK-, and IRF-3-responsive dsRNA signaling cascades culminates in the transcriptional stimulation of numerous genes such as the type I IFNs (15).

The TLR system, predominantly involving TLR 7 and 8, is essential for viral detection in plasmacytoid dendritic cells (17–19). However, alternate TLR-independent mechanisms of virus recognition and innate immune activation have recently been identified and reportedly involve a second type of intracellular receptor that belongs to the DExD/H box RNA helicase family. These helicases, referred to as the retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I) and its homologue, melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5), contain a caspase activation and recruitment domain (CARD)/death-like domain (DLD) in their amino-terminal regions and have been reported as being able to trigger the production of type I IFN in response to virus infection (20, 21). For example, RIG-I has been shown to be essential for mediating the induction of type I IFN in response to negative-stranded viruses such as vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), while MDA5 plays a more important role in the recognition of polyinosinic/polycytidylic acid (poly(I:C)) and...
positive-stranded viruses such as the encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) (19, 22, 23). This may occur through the ability of RIG-I to specifically associate with viral single-stranded RNA, which contains 5′-phosphates such as those encoded by VSV (24, 25). In contrast, MDA5 appears to recognize longer dsDNA species such as those generated following EMCV infection. Reports indicate that RIG-I and MDA5 exert their activity through a recently identified CARD-containing molecule referred to as IFN-β promoter stimulator 1 (IPS-1). IPS-1 is also a potent inducer of type I IFN and a putative mitochondrial localized adaptor molecule required for MDA5 and RIG-I function (and also referred to as VISA, MAVS, and CARDIF) (26 –29). In addition, the death domain-containing proteins FADD (Fas-associated death domain) and RIP1 play a role in regulating the induction of type I IFN and are required for efficient RIG-I, MDA5, and IPS-1 function (26, 30–32). A role for FADD and RIP1 in innate immune signaling pathways has similarly been demonstrated in Drosophila (3, 4). In this case, the Drosophila homologues of FADD and RIP1, referred to as dFADD and IMD, respectively, govern the activation of Relish (NF-κB) and the induction of antimicrobial genes in response to infection by Gram-negative bacteria (3, 4).

A third intracellular helicase, referred to as Lgp2, exhibits extensive homology to MDA5 and RIG-I (41 and 31% respectively) but lacks amino-terminal CARD domains (32). Overexpression studies indicate that Lgp2 does not appear to activate the production of type I IFN but rather may function as a negative feedback inhibitor of MDA5 and RIG-I, thus controlling dsRNA signaling events (32–34). It has been proposed that Lgp2 may exert this activity through competitively sequestering dsRNA activators and/or by associating with components of the IFN-β signal transduction pathway (32, 34, 35). To further clarify the role of Lgp2 in controlling intracellular dsRNA signaling pathways that govern the production of type I IFN, we have generated mice that lack a functional Lgp2 gene. Our data indicate that Lgp2-lacking mice are viable, although they are significantly more sensitive to poly(I:C)-mediated production of type I IFN. Surprisingly, Lgp2-deficient mice exhibited resistance to VSV infection but appeared sensitive to EMCV infection. This data may indicate disparate functions for Lgp2 in the regulation of RIG-I and MDA5 activity and, accordingly, in the control of innate immune responses following infection with either negative- or positive-stranded viruses, respectively.

Materials and Methods

Construction of Lgp2 knockout vector

The genomic sequence of Lgp2 was accessed through public domain databases (www.ensembl.org). Primers were designed to amplify 3-kb fragments upstream and downstream of exons 1–6 of the D1HUG2p gene. PCR was performed on 129Sv3 genomic DNA to amplify these two fragments using the following primers: upstream forward (5′-ATAAGAATGCGCCGCCGCTGAGGATTGTGTTACTAATGCAGCTTACC-3′) and upstream reverse (5′-GACCAGAGTACGAAGAATAGGACGAGGATTGTTACTAATGCAGCTTACC-3′) and downstream reverse (5′-CCATCGATGAGACCCCCCAAGAGACGC-3′) and downstream forward (5′-GACAGGCTCTCCTACGGACC-3′) and downstream reverse (5′-GACAGGCTCTCCTACGGACC-3′). The two fragments were cloned into plasmid vector pBLC-Lx-Neo; the upstream fragment was cloned into NolI/SmaI sites and the downstream fragment into Clal/HincII sites flanking either side of the pGK-Neo cassette. NotI and Clal sites were added to the primers. SmaI cloning was accomplished by ligation with the blunt 3′ end of the PCR fragment. The 3′ HincII of the downstream fragment was an internal site 51 bases upstream of the downstream reverse primer.

Generation of the Lgp2 knockout mice

The linearized targeting vector was electroporated into E14.1 embryonic stem cells originated from 129SvEv strain, followed by the selection in G418. Targeted clones were screened by PCR and Southern blot hybridization as described below. From 50 clones, one positive clone was identified. This embryonic stem cell clone was subjected to the generation of chimera mice by injection using C57BL/6J blastocysts as the hosts. The resulting male chimeras were further mated with C57BL/6J female mice for germline transmission. The heterozygous mice (F1 mice) were interbred to obtain wild-type (WT), heterozygous, and homozygous littermates (F2); the following generations (F3 and F4) were in a mixed 129SvEv/C57BL/6J (50%/50%) genetic background. The genotypes of the mice were determined by PCR and Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA obtained from the tails as described below. Animals were generated at the University of Miami School of Medicine Transgenic Core Facility (Miami, FL). Mice were allowed to freely access food and water and were housed at an ambient temperature of 23°C and at a 12-h light/dark cycle. Animal care and handling was performed as per Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.

Isolation and primary culture of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)

Primary MEF cultures were established from embryos produced by intercrossing heterozygous mutant mice. Mice from the F2 generation were paired up for mating and the formation of the vaginal plug was monitored and designated as day 0. After 13.5 days post coitum, pregnant female mice were sacrificed and embryos dissected. Embryos were cut, excluding the brain and the liver; the rest of the fetus was minced with a sterile razor blade into small pieces, incubated in 0.05% trypsin and 0.02% EDTA at 37°C for 30 min with periodic agitation, dispersed by pipetting, and passed through a 40-μm cell strainer. Cells were grown in high glucose DMEM (Invitrogen Life Technologies) containing 10% FBS (Invitrogen Life Technologies) and 0.1 mg/ml Primocin (Amaxa Biosystems) until confluent. The excised brain and liver tissues were frozen at −80°C for genotype confirmation by PCR.

Cells, viruses, and reagents

MEFs were obtained from the following sources: STAT1+/– and STAT1−/− MEFs (J. Durbin, Children’s Hospital, Columbus, OH). VSV-WT (Indiana strain) and EMCV were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. Poly(I:C) (Amersham Biosciences) was reconstituted in PBS at 2 mg/ml, denatured at 55°C for 30 min, and allowed to anneal at room temperature before use. Unless indicated otherwise, MEFs were transfected with 6 μg of poly(I:C) in 8 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen Life Technologies) to stimulate promoter activation and IFN production. Murine IFN-α and -β ELISA kits and anti-IFN-α and -β Abs were acquired from PBL Biomedical Laboratories. All other reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich unless noted otherwise.

Plasmids

Expression vectors (pcDNA3-Neo; Invitrogen Life Technologies) encoding FLAG-tagged versions of human RIG-I and MDA-5 were generated by PCR. Plasmid pBL-Lx-Neo-DT, based on a pBluescript SK− backbone (Stratagene), has been previously described (36). A downstream diphtheria toxin expression module facilitated a high percentage of homogeneous recombination events.

Antibodies

Abs were obtained from the following sources: Abs to Lgp2 and RIG-I were a gift of T. Fujita (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; Ref. 20). Ab to MDA5 was a gift from P.B. Fisher (Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY; Ref. 21). CD11c-FITC, CD86-PE, and CD83-PE were purchased from BD Biosciences. Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY; Ref. 21). CD11c-FITC, CD86-PE, and CD83-PE were purchased from BD Biosciences. CD11c-FITC, CD86-PE, and CD83-PE were purchased from BD Biosciences. CD11c-FITC, CD86-PE, and CD83-PE were purchased from BD Biosciences.

Preparation of dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages

Bone marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs) were prepared from femurs and tibia isolated from WT and knockout mice. A single cell suspension (per mouse) was prepared and RBCs were lysed. Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 50 μM 2-ME, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 20 ng/ml GM-CSF. Medium was replaced every 2 days. On day 6, cells were purified using MACS separator CD11c (N418) microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) following the manufacturer’s instructions. After maturation, DCs were stimulated with either mock, VSV or EMCV treatment. After 24 h of treatment, cells were analyzed for surface marker expression by FACS. DCs (105) were stained with 1 μg of CD11c-FITC, CD86-PE, or CD83-PE for analysis.

Macrophages from WT and Lgp2c−/− mice were collected 4 days after intraperitoneal thioglycollate injection (2 ml of 3% thioglycollate) by
washing the peritoneal cavity with HBSS and collecting the peritoneal exudate cells. The collected cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin and allowed to adhere in flat-bottom 48 well plates. Cells were infected with VSV at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. After 24 h, cell culture supernatants were collected and viral titers were determined by a standard plaque assay in baby hamster kidney cells.

**DNA microarray analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from MEFs stimulated in a 10-cm dish with or without poly(I:C) (6 μg/ml in Lipofectamine 2000) or VSV-GFP (MOI of 5.0). The preparation of mRNA and microarray analysis was performed at the W.M. Keck Foundation Biotechnology Research Laboratory DNA microarray facility at Yale University (New Haven, CT). The mouse genome 430-2.0 array (Affymetrix) was used. Data analysis was performed with GeneSpring software (Silicon Genetics).

**Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from samples using the RNeasy RNA extraction kit (Quagen) and cDNA synthesis was performed using 1 μg of total RNA (Roche). Fluorescence real-time PCR analysis was performed using a LightCycler 2.0 instrument (Roche) and TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems). Relative amounts of mRNA were normalized to the 18S ribosomal RNA levels in each sample.

**Virus infections**

MEF cells were plated in 12-well plates at 100,000 cells/well and allowed to adhere overnight. They were infected with recombinant VSV expressing GFP, VSV-WT, or EMCV. Supernatants were collected at different time points and the viral progeny yield was measured by standard plaque assay on baby hamster kidney cells.

**NF-κB ELISA**

To detect active p65 containing NF-κB complexes, the EZ-Detect NFkB p65 transcription factor kit (Pierce) was used. The manufacturer's recommended protocol was used to assay for activation of NF-κB. Samples were collected and lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer containing protease inhibitors and an assay was conducted according to assay protocol. Values are graphed as a fold relative to the mock or h 0 time point samples.

**Cytokine profiling**

IFN-α and IFN-β were detected in cell-free supernatant and mice sera by ELISA (PBL Biomedical Laboratories). IFN-γ and IL-12 were measured using the BD cytometric bead array (BD Biosciences). Other cytokines were measured by the TranSignal mouse cytokine Ab array (Panomics). All protocols were performed as per the manufacturer’s recommendations.

**In vivo imaging of mice**

Mice were infected intranasally (i.n.) with VSV expressing luciferase (Luc) at 10⁷ PFU/mouse in a 10-μl volume (5 μl per nostril). On different days after the initial infection the progression of infection was monitored. Animals were anesthetized and injected with luciferin (150 mg/kg) 5 min before bioluminescence imaging. Animals were placed on a warmed stage (37°C) and imaged using an IVIS 200 system (Xenogen). Bioluminescence was monitored by detecting photon emission using a charge-coupled device camera. Images of infected mice were displayed as a pseudo-color image representing light intensities superimposed over the white light image of the body surface.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance of differences in cytokine levels, viral titers, and luciferase intensity in VSV-Luc-infected mice was determined using Student’s t test. Survival curves were analyzed using the logrank test.

**Results**

**Generation of Lgp2−/− mice**

To elucidate the function of the DExD/H box helicase LGP2, in vivo, we developed Lgp2-deficient (Lgp2−/−) mice by targeted homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells (Fig. 1A). The deletion of Lgp2 was confirmed by Southern and Northern analyses as well as by RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1, B and C, and data not shown). Lgp2−/− animals were born at the Mendelian ratio and developed and bred normally (Fig. 1D). MEFs derived from Lgp2−/− fetuses were treated with type I IFN and immunoblot analysis was conducted using an anti-LGP2 antiserum, the results of which verified that the LGP2 protein is indeed lacking in these cells (Fig. 1E). This study further confirmed that LGP2 is not abundantly expressed in MEFs in the absence of type I IFN. Microarray and immunoblot analysis of normal MEFs confirmed that LGP2 as well as RIG-I and MDA5 are efficiently up-regulated following exposure to both type I and II IFN (Fig. 1, E and F). However, Mda5 and to a lesser extent Rig-I were also found to be transcriptionally activated in a STAT1-independent manner, indicating that they additionally lie in the primary innate immune response pathway (Fig. 1, G and H). Lgp2, in contrast, appears to be predominantly synthesized in a STAT1-dependent manner. Thus, following exposure to intracellular poly(I:C), Lgp2 would presumably be synthesized in an autologous manner after the production of MDA5 and RIG-I and the induction of IFN-β.

**Enhanced poly(I:C)-mediated induction of type I IFN in LGP2−/− cells**

It has been reported that LGP2 is a potential dominant negative regulator of RIG-I and MDA5 activity and can function to inhibit poly(I:C)-mediated, TLR-independent synthesis of type I IFN (20). To further investigate the role of LGP2 in poly(I:C) signaling events, we transfected Lgp2−/− MEFs with poly(I:C) and examined the production of IFN-β mRNA and protein by RT-PCR, microarray analysis, and ELISA. These studies indicated that endogenous IFN-β mRNA was up-regulated several hundred-fold in the absence of LGP2, compared with controls, at 3 and 6 h posttreatment (Fig. 2A). Similarly, the induction of IFN-α2 mRNA, which is largely dependent on IFN-β-mediated STAT1-regulation, was found to be elevated in Lgp2−/− MEFs compared with WT cells (Fig. 2B). These findings were corroborated by ELISA studies confirming that the IFN-β -α protein levels were increased several-fold in Lgp2−/− cells compared with controls by 6–12 h posttreatment (Fig. 2, C and D). Microarray mRNA expression profiles of poly(I:C)-treated Lgp2−/− or Lgp2+/+ MEFs demonstrated that the mRNA levels of a number of dsRNA-inducible genes such as IL-6 and IFN-α4 are also enhanced by poly(I:C) early after treatment in the absence of LGP2 (Fig. 2E). Additional examination verified that NF-κB activity was augmented in Lgp2−/− cells compared with control cells following exposure to intracellular poly(I:C) (Fig. 2F). Thus, the loss of LGP2 results in the enhanced synthesis of genes regulated by transfected poly(I:C).

To start to evaluate the in vivo role of LGP2 in mediating dsRNA signaling events, we inoculated animals deficient in LGP2 or controls with poly(I:C) and monitored type I IFN production at 6 and 12 h posttreatment. These data indicated that the levels of dsRNA-mediated IFN-β and -α production did not significantly vary in the presence or absence of LGP2 (Fig. 2G). A further evaluation of cytokine production in response to poly(I:C) was conducted using murine cytokine Ab array analysis. Although RANTES was found to be moderately enhanced in the control cells, this study similarly indicated no significant differences in general cytokine levels in Lgp2−/− mice compared with controls following i.v. inoculation with poly(I:C) (Fig. 2H). Thus, the loss of LGP2 does not seem to significantly affect the in vivo production of type I IFN following exposure to exogenous poly(I:C), presumably because these events are largely modulated by the TLR pathway, which is independent
of RIG-I/MDA5 and LGP2 function (15). These data also indicate that the inhibition of IFN-β production in response to exogenous dsRNA species is not dependent on LGP2 (Fig. 2G).

To extend these studies, we next examined whether RIG-I or MDA5 directly exerted any enhanced ability to stimulate the production of type I IFN in the absence of LGP2. Following the transfection of heterologous MDA5 or RIG-I into control or Lgp2−/− MEFs, we found that endogenous IFN-β production was stimulated >200-fold in the absence of LGP2, further suggesting that this latter helicase may indeed exhibit a negative regulatory role on early transfected dsRNA-mediated type I IFN production (Fig. 3A). Similar effects were seen to occur with STAT1-dependent
IFN-α2 mRNA, presumably due to the abundance of IFN-β being prevalent (Fig. 3B). Finally, the type I IFN inducer IPS-1 also appeared unaffected in LGP2-lacking cells (Fig. 3C).

To complement this study, we pretreated cells with type I IFN. This was done because our analyses had indicated that, in the absence of type I IFN, the expression levels of LGP2 are low. Following exposure to type I IFN, however, endogenous levels of LGP2 would increase (Fig. 1D). To thus examine whether the increased presence of endogenous LGP2 exerted an influence on the production of IFN-β in response to intracellular dsRNA, we measured the induction of IFN-β mRNA in Lgp2−/− or control cells pretreated with type I IFN. Fig. 4A indicates that the production of IFN-β mRNA was significantly enhanced in Lgp2−/− cells compared with controls in either IFN-treated and untreated MEFs following exposure to intracellular poly(I:C). However, the induction of type I IFN by intracellular dsRNA species characteristically declined between 6 and 12 h post-stimulation in both the Lgp2−/− and Lgp2−/− MEFs (19). This effect also occurred in the type I IFN-treated cells. These data
would suggest that LGP2 does not exert significant influence as a negative feedback inhibitor on MDA5 or RIG-I activity, because LGP2 is obviously absent in the Lgp2/−/− MEFs and yet the decline of IFN-β mRNA in response to poly(I:C) typically diminishes. Fig. 4, B and C (lower panels) ensured that IFN-β induction of IFN-stimulated response element (ISRE)-stimulated genes occurs in Lgp2/−/− cells and that the IRF-7 and RIG-I proteins were prevalent at 3–12 h posttransfection and thus not a cause for a reduction in IFN-β transcription. Thus, although the loss of LGP2 facilitates RIG-I/MDA5 activity mediated by poly(I:C) early after transfection, the mechanism for negative feedback inhibition of type I IFN is likely LGP2 independent.

Antiviral responses in LGP2 lacking MEFs

To determine whether the loss of LGP2 affected antiviral innate immune signaling responses, we infected the control or Lgp2/−/− MEFs with VSV, a single, negative-stranded RNA virus and member of the Rhabdoviridae family, and monitored the production of type I IFN. RIG-I, rather than MDA5, has been reported as being essential for the production of type I IFN in response to VSV infection (19). Our data indicated that the stimulation of IFN-β mRNA by VSV was significantly less compared with the stimulation by transfected poly(I:C), presumably because VSV is able to conceal its RNA from interacting with dsRNA stress sensors such as RIG-I (19). Further, an encoded VSV M protein would prevent the export of IFN-β mRNA required for positive feedback enhancement of additional RIG-I and MDA5 as well as IRF-7, which bolsters IFN-β production (37). However, slightly elevated production of IFN-β and IFN-α2 mRNA was again observed in Lgp2/−/− cells compared with controls as initially determined by quantitative RT-PCR and were depicted as a fold increase relative to mock treated Lgp2/−/− sample, without poly(I:C).

FIGURE 3. Analysis of Rig-I, Mda5, and IPS-1 expression in the absence of LGP2. A, Analysis of Rig-I and Mda5 function in Lgp2/−/− MEFs. Lgp2/−/− cells or controls were transfected with heterologous Rig-I or Mda5 for 30 h before treatment (3 h) with (pIC +) or without (pIC −) poly(I:C) (6 μg/ml in Lipofectamine 2000). IFN-β (Ifnb) mRNA levels were determined using qRT-PCR and are depicted as a fold increase relative to the vector (Vec)-treated Lgp+/+ sample without poly(I:C). B, Analysis of IFN-α2 (Ifna2) mRNA production from the experiment in A. C, Analysis of IPS-1 function in Lgp2/−/− MEFs. Lgp2/−/− cells or controls were transfected with heterologous IPS-1 for 30 h before treatment (3 h) with or without poly(I:C) (6 μg/ml in Lipofectamine 2000). IFN-β (Ifnb) mRNA levels were determined using qRT-PCR and are depicted as a fold increase relative to mock treated Lgp2/−/− sample, without poly(I:C).

FIGURE 4. The effect of IFN-β pretreatment on poly(I:C) signaling in Lgp2/−/− MEFs. A, Lgp2/−/− or controls were pretreated with (filled histogram) or without (unfilled histogram) 20 U/ml murine IFN-β for 16 h. Cells were then transfected with poly(I:C) for the indicated times and mRNA for IFN-β measured by qRT-PCR. mRNA levels are expressed as the fold induction relative to the untreated Lgp2+/+ sample at h 0. B, Irf7 mRNA measurements from experiment in A. C, Rig-I mRNA measurements.
again suggest that LGP2 exerts an inhibitory effect on at least RIG-I signaling, which is essential for the recognition of VSV-related RNA. ELISA analysis of IFN-β protein production indicated that the levels of these cytokines were slightly elevated in LGP2-lacking MEFs following VSV infection (Fig. 5D). Presumably, the low levels of IFN production reflect the ability of these viruses to inhibit the production of cellular protein after infection by various mechanisms (37). To complement this study, we examined viral replication in MEFs lacking or containing LGP2 and found that there were approximately equivalent levels of VSV produced at MOI values of 10 and 0.1 (Figs. 5D). Plausibly, the small amount of IFN made in vitro in MEFs, even in the absence of LGP2, in response to infection is insufficient to dramatically affect viral titers. To extend this study, we examined the replication of VSV in macrophages and found that a loss of LGP2 leads to slightly decreased viral replication (Fig. 5E). To complement this approach, a VSV mutant virus was generated that encoded a defective M protein (VSV-M 52–54) (37). This virus is unable to inhibit IFN-β mRNA export and, thus, type I IFN transcription and translation are increased through autologous feedback mechanisms. In this situation, VSV-M 52–54 replicated to significantly lower titers in MEFs lacking LGP2 (Fig. 5F). Thus, whereas the loss of LGP2 seems to augment the production of type I IFN mRNA in response to virus infection, the effects observed are not as dramatic as those obtained using transfected poly(I:C), in part due to the probable viral evasion of host defense. However, cells lacking LGP2 appear to exhibit slight resistance to VSV infection in vitro.

Mice lacking LGP2 are more resistant to VSV infection

To investigate the role of LGP2 in antiviral responses in vivo, we inoculated control or Lgp2−/− mice with 1 × 10^5 or 1 × 10^7 VSV (Indiana strain) i.n. and monitored survival. As shown in Fig. 6A, all but one WT animal succumbed to virus infection by 9 days postinoculation at 10^7 PFU, and 40% of the animals died at 10^5 PFU. However, mice lacking LGP2 exhibited resistance to VSV infection and only two animals succumbed by day 7 following i.n. exposure at 10^7 PFU. None of the Lgp2−/− animals died following exposure to 10^5 PFU. No further animals from either group died after the indicated time points. In a separate
and lungs.

Lgp2 replication of VSV-Luc in the nasal region of both control and in vivo luminescence analyses at day 1 postinfection revealed the control animals with an attenuated recombinant VSV-Luc. In accordance with data shown in Fig. 6 controls, indicating more robust clearance of the virus in ac-
luciferase was evident in mice lacking LGP2 compared with
we inoculated control or which may exert an antiviral effect. To explore this possibility, to trigger the production of type I IFN in the absence of LGP2, to day 5 indicated high levels of IL-12 production in
animals at this time point did not reveal any detectable quan-
tities of these cytokines in the control or Lgp2−/− mice, plau-
ibly because the infection had largely been contained in the olfactory region by this time point (data not shown). However, as mentioned, significant quantities of IL-12, which has been proposed to facilitate recovery from viral encephalitis, were observed by day 5 in mice lacking LGP2 (day 5, 10⁶ VSV; Fig. 6C) (38). Serum taken from animals infected i.n. (day 7, 10⁷ VSV-WT) were next examined for selected cytokine expression by immunoblotting revealing that VSV-infected WT mice exhibited slightly higher levels of G-CSF, M-CSF, and TNF-α, indicative of inflammatory reaction in the presence of virus infection (Fig. 7C). This was more evident when analyzing homogenized brain extracts of infected animals. In this case, significant amounts of both IFN-β and -α were observed by ELISA in WT animals but not Lgp2−/− mice because viral replication was occurring predominantly only in the brains of control animals at this time point (Figs. 6B and 7, A and B). Cytokine profile analysis also indicated the presence of high levels of proinflammatory proteins such as the IP-10 (IFN-γ-inducible protein, 10 kDa) in control animals compared with Lgp2−/− mice. Collectively, these data would indicate that Lgp2−/− animals clear virus infection more evidently than WT animals and avoid the inflammation typical of encephalitis mediated by virus infection.

To complement this study, we inoculated Lgp2−/− or control mice i.v. with VSV (1 × 10⁵) and measured cytokine responses earlier, at 6 and 24 h postinfection. These data revealed slightly
more IFN-β induction in Lgp2−/− animals compared with controls at 24 h postinoculation, although IFN-α levels remained similar (Fig. 7, D and E). More significantly, IFN-γ levels were considerably higher in mice lacking LGP2 compared with controls, again indicative of earlier robust host defense responses in the Lgp2−/− mice (Fig. 7F). Thus, the loss of LGP2 augments resistance to VSV infection, plausibly by allowing RIG-I to exert an enhanced ability to stimulate the production of type I IFN and suppress infection.

**Loss of LGP2 represses IFN induction in response to EMCV**

To further complement these studies, we analyzed the effect of EMCV infection, a positive stranded virus, in MEFs lacking LGP2. MDA5, rather than RIG-I, has been shown to be essential for recognizing EMCV infection and triggering type I IFN production (19, 22). Our data indicated no significant differences in EMCV viral replication or in the induction of type I IFN when comparing infected WT MEFs to LGP2-lacking MEFs (Fig. 8A). Plausibly, this may be due to EMCV being able to avoid triggering host defense responses in these cells. However, a more dramatic effect was observed when infecting LGP2-deficient macrophages. Surprisingly, in this situation a defect in type I IFN production was observed following EMCV infection in Lgp2−/− macrophages compared with controls (Fig. 8B). A corresponding increase in viral titers was observed in LGP2-deficient macrophages, presumably due to the lack of IFN production (Fig. 8C). Similar observations were found following the infection of BMDCs obtained from Lgp2−/− mice (Fig. 8D). To further analyze the consequences of EMCV infection in the absence of LGP2, we infected Lgp2−/− or control mice i.v. with 1 × 10⁷ PFU/mouse (n = 4). At 4 and 24 h postinfection, serum was taken from the animals and selected cytokine levels were measured by ELISA. This analysis indicated that IFN-β, IFN-α, and IL-6 levels were dramatically reduced in animals lacking LGP2 following EMCV infection (Fig. 8E–G). Furthermore, whereas VSV was able to modestly induce CD83 in Lgp2−/− BMDCs compared with WT cells, the expression of this marker did not significantly differ following infection with EMCV (Fig. 8H). Animals lacking LGP2 also exhibited less resistance to lethal i.p. EMCV infection (100 PFU/animal; n = 10) (Fig. 8I) compared with WT control animals. Lgp2−/− mice infected i.v. with EMCV showed defective induction of IP-10 and MIG (monokine induced by IFN-γ) after 4 h in an analysis of its serum using a cytokine array (10⁷ PFU/mouse; n = 3) (Fig. 8J). Collectively, these analyses indicate that a loss of LGP2 can significantly influence host innate immune responses depending on the type of virus. Plausibly, LGP2 is required for efficient antiviral responses following EMCV infection, a signaling process requiring MDA5. In contrast, the loss of LGP2 augments type I IFN production in response to transfected poly(I:C) or VSV, the latter of which activates RIG-I-mediated host defense responses.
**Discussion**

The DExD/H box helicases MDA5 and RIG-I, responsible for facilitating host defense countermeasures in response to virus infection, appear to be expressed at low constitutive levels in the absence of type I IFN. Presumably, RIG-I and MDA5 serve as stress sentinels ready to recognize virus invasion (1). Following infection with positive- or negative-stranded viruses, MDA5 or RIG-I, respectively, trigger a signaling cascade culminating in the production of IFN-β and other genes in the so-called primary innate immune response pathway. Both RIG-I and MDA5, but not strongly LGP2, are primary innate immune response genes and thus rapidly induce themselves in response to intracellular viral RNA species before the production of type I IFN, the engagement of the JAK/STAT pathway, and the production of numerous genes that contain a cognate IFN-stimulated response element in their promoter regions (1, 39). However, RIG-I and MDA5 are also IFN inducible and thus these proteins can further stimulate their own transcription in an autologous positive feedback manner. In the presence of virus infection, this positive feedback loop would remain engaged unless virus infection was eliminated or cell death ensued. In vitro evidence has indicated that the DExD/H helicase LGP2, which lacks a CARD domain harbored by RIG-I and MDA5, may exert an inhibitory role on the ability of both RIG-I and MDA5 to induce the production of type I IFN in response to dsRNA (32). Previous evidence would...
indicate that LGP2 becomes induced by type I IFN and exercises a negative regulatory effect on MDA5 and/or RIG-I function. The mechanism of this repressor action has been reported to involve the sequestration of RNA activators that would normally associate with RIG-I and MDA5 to activate CARD-mediated signaling (32, 34). A further report indicated that LGP2 may block RIG-I and MDA5 by forming a protein complex with IPS-1 (35). However, a recent study indicated that LGP2 only exhibited strong inhibitory function against RIG-I and not against MDA5. LGP2 exerted this activity by binding directly to RIG-I through a repressor domain (40). Plausibly, this event could control RIG-I association with IPS-1 via their respective CARD domains.

Collectively, our data presented here, derived by using MEFs or animals lacking LGP2, indicate that IFN-β mRNA production by poly(I:C) is increased in vitro compared with controls. Further, the heterologous expression of RIG-I and MDA5 can exert a more potent ability to induce type I IFN in the absence of LGP2 following the addition of transfected poly(I:C). These data would be consistent with the notion that LGP2 can negatively regulate the ability of RIG-I and MDA5 to induce type I IFN in response to poly(I:C). Recently, it has been reported that RIG-I is able to recognize ssRNA bearing 5-phosphates such as those produced from viruses like VSV (24). Cells lacking RIG-I have been demonstrated to lack the ability to stimulate the production of type I IFN following infection with VSV, a negative-stranded virus, but not EMCV, a positive-stranded virus (19). However, MDA5 has been shown to be essential for recognizing EMCV infection and in vitro transcribed RNA for reasons that remain to be fully clarified. In macrophages, MDA5 has also been shown to be essential for recognizing poly(I:C) (22). Our data demonstrate that in MEFs the heterologous expression of RIG-I or MDA5 potently stimulates type I IFN production in response to transfected poly(I:C). These observations could infer that the binding of MDA5 to LGP2 in vitro has been reported (40). Alternatively, endogenous MDA5 may be able to form heterodimers with RIG-I to stimulate IFN production and the further synthesis of MDA5. Tissue-specific regulatory factors may additionally play a factor in regulating dsRNA-mediated signaling events. Further, LGP2 may indeed sequester RNA species and/or bind to and inhibit downstream effector molecules such as IPS-1.

A more complex picture regarding the function of LGP2 in innate signaling responses became apparent following the infection of LGP2−/− cells or mice with different RNA viruses. First, we observed that the induction of type I IFN was slightly augmented in LGP2−/− cells following infection with VSV. These data would concur with the notion that LGP2 is a negative regulator of RIG-I function and would agree with our experiments using transfected poly(I:C). Further, we demonstrate that mice lacking LGP2 are less sensitive to lethal i.n. VSV infection compared with control animals. Type I and II IFN levels were undetectable in the serum of mice inoculated i.n. by day 5, indicating that the infection is contained and likely localized to the olfactory region (38). However, we did observe significant differences in IL-12 levels in animals infected i.n. IL-12 has been proposed to promote recovery from lethal VSV infection of the CNS through a variety of mechanisms (38, 41). In addition to these data, direct i.n. inoculation with VSV also resulted in a slight increase in IFN-β levels by 24 h in Lgp2−/− animals compared with controls and a significant difference in IFN-γ levels (not observed systemically after 5 days following i.n. infection). IFN-γ has been demonstrated to inhibit VSV replication in neurons in part through the stimulation of NO production, which exerts direct antiviral effects and can modulate signal transduction pathways associated with cytokine production (42). In addition, both IL-12 and IFN-γ similarly exert direct antiviral activity and are potent regulators of the adaptive immune response (41, 43). LGP2 could further influence viral clearance by stimulating levels of type I IFN production that, aside from exerting direct antiviral activity, is critical for the generation of effector and memory T cells and can influence Ab responses to viral infection (44, 45).

In contrast with data obtained using VSV, we observed that LGP2−/− cells and animals actually had a defective ability to produce type I IFN following exposure to EMCV. Accordingly, animals lacking LGP2 were more sensitive to lethal EMCV infection. These data would again be in accordance with the notion that LGP2 predominantly represses the RIG-I pathway rather than the MDA5 pathway, however, indicating a potential positive regulatory role in the recognition of EMCV (40). Preliminary data indicate that the heterologous expression of LGP2 can inhibit VSV infection but not EMCV infection (data not shown). The mechanisms of RIG-I specific repression may include the direct association of LGP2 with RIG-I to prevent latent activity. Alternatively, LGP2 may associate with a downstream effector molecule such as IPS-1, although in this scenario it may be expected that LGP2 would inhibit both MDA5 and RIG-I, which we do not observe, at least under our experimental conditions. In such a situation, unrepressed RIG-I may compete with MDA5 for downstream effectors, reducing the ability of MDA5 to respond to specific virus infection. It may also be plausible that LGP2 is a cofactor for MDA5, as well as a repressor of RIG-I, because the binding of MDA5 to LGP2 in vitro has been reported (40). It is not clear why RIG-I would be preferentially regulated negatively by LGP2 rather than MDA5. Perhaps these molecules are able to coassociate in innateosome complexes that have evolved to respond to different viruses. A loss of selected molecules could effect the regulation of such responses following association with different RNA types. Clearly, further work will be required to clarify these issues.

Finally, our data indicate that the negative feedback inhibition of IFN-β transcription in response to poly(I:C) also occurred in the absence of LGP2, suggesting that this helicase is not significantly responsible for suppressing the poly(I:C)-triggered IFN-β pathway. In addition, Lgp2−/− animals treated with exogenous poly(I:C) (noncomplexed) did not exhibit any enhanced ability to rapidly produce type I IFN compared with controls, presumably because this pathway is governed by the TLR pathway, which is independent of RIG-I and MDA5 (17–19). The negative feedback inhibition of type I IFN production by exogenous poly(I:C) in vivo similarly occurred in Lgp2−/− animals, suggesting that LGP2 does not robustly affect the TLR 3 or TLR 7/8 pathway. Collectively, our data indicate that LGP2 restrains the activity of RIG-I early after exposure to viruses such as the VSV species, presumably because this may avoid repression of the MDA5 pathway or may avoid deleterious inflammatory responses occurring as a consequence of robust RIG-I activity (46). However, the mechanism that prevents an unconstrained poly(I:C)-activated positive feedback loop, dependent on RIG-I and MDA5, likely involves molecules other than LGP2.
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