of ubiquitin conjugates from proteasome substrates (32). There exist other Csn5/Rpn11 homologs in eukaryotes (Fig. 1) and, by extension, we propose the "JAMMIN" hypothesis, which posits that eukaryotic JAMM proteins are isopeptidases that deconjugate Ned8 or other ubiquitin-like proteins.

Deubiquitination of Sic1 sustained by Csn5 carrying a JAMM domain mutation arrests development as larvae with abnormalities in photoreceptor differentiation, suggesting that at least two functions associated with Csn5—viability and photoreceptor differentiation—require its JAMM-dependent isopeptidase activity. Given that Csn5 has been implicated in c-Jun signaling (12), p27 turnover (33), cytokine signaling (4/), and growth cone-target interactions (4/), it will be interesting to see if isopeptidase activity of Csn5 underlies these diverse processes as well.

All neddylated proteins known are members of the cullin family. It is not clear whether CSN isopeptidase acts exclusively upon cullin-Ned8 conjugates or cleaves other targets. Regardless, given the large number of F-box proteins and the potential for substantial diversity in the substrates for SCF and other cullin-based ubiquitin ligases, CSN neddylase activity may play an enormous role in cellular regulation.

Role of Rpn11 Metalloprotease in Deubiquitination and Degradation by the 26S Proteasome

Rati Verma, 1 L. Aravind, 2 Robert Oania, 1 W. Hayes McDonald, 3 John R. Yates III, 3 Eugene V. Koonin, 2 Raymond J. Deshaies 1*

The 26S proteasome mediates degradation of ubiquitin-conjugated proteins. Although ubiquitin is recycled from proteasome substrates, the molecular basis of deubiquitination at the proteasome and its relation to substrate degrada-
tion remain unknown. The Rpn11 subunit of the proteasome lid subcom-
plex contains a highly conserved Jab1/MPN domain–associated metallo-
ispoteidase (JAMM) motif—ExHxHxHx. Mutation of the predicted active-site histidines to alanine (rpn11AxAx) was lethal and stabilized ubiqui-
itin pathway substrates in yeast. Rpn11AxAx mutant proteasomes assembled normally but failed to either deubiquitinate or degrade ubiquinated Sic1 in vitro. Our findings reveal an unexpected coupling between substrate deubiquitination and degradation and suggest a unifying rationale for the presence of the lid in eukaryotic proteasomes.

Proteolysis by the 26S proteasome proceeds by binding of the ubiquitinated substrate protein to the 19S regulatory particle, followed by its unfolding and translocation into the lumen of the 20S core, where it is degraded by the action of the 20S peptidases (7–3). At some point in this process, the ubiquitin target-
ging signal is detached from the substrate. It is appealing to envision that this deubiqui-
tination is obligatorily coupled to degradation. Such coupling would render the targeting event irreversible, prevent unproductive turn-
over of ubiquitin, and presumably alleviate steric blockade of the 20S core entry portal by the bulky ubiquitin chain, which is linked by isopeptide bonds. When and where substrate deubiquitination takes place, the identity of the deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB), and whether deubiquitination of a substrate is es-
tial for its degradation by the proteasome are unclear (4, 5).

Budding yeast ubiquitinylated S-Cdk inhibitor Sic1 (Ub-Sic1) is rapidly degraded by purified 26S proteasomes (3, 6) in a reaction that recapitulates physiological requirements for Sic1 proteolysis (7, 8). To investigate whether degradation of Sic1 is normally accom-
panied by its deubiquitination, we evalu-
ated the fate of Ub-Sic1 after inhibition of 26S proteolytic activity. Epoxomicin inhibits the proteasome by covalently binding the catalytically active β subunits of the 20S core (9). Purified 26S proteasomes were preincu-
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Fig. 1. Characterization of Ub-Sic1 deubiquitination by purified 26S proteasomes. (A) Ub-Sic1 is deubiquitinated by epoxomicin-treated 26S proteasomes in a ubiquitin aldehyde–insensitive manner. Purified proteasomes (100 nM) were incubated with either 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (lanes 1 and 2, mock), or 100 μM epoxomicin in the absence (lane 3) or presence (lane 4) of 2.5 μM ubiquitin aldehyde (Ub-Al) for 30 min at 30°C. The degradation reaction was initiated by the addition of Us-Sic1 (300 nM) and 1 ATP regeneration system (1 × ARS) (3), incubated at 30°C for 5 min, and terminated by the addition of SDS sample buffer. Aliquots were resolved by SDS-PAGE (8% polyacrylamide gel), transferred to nitrocellulose, and immunoblotted with polyclonal antibody to Sic1 to monitor degradation. (B) Sic1 generated by epoxomicin-treated proteasomes is completely deubiquitinated. An aliquot of the epoxomicin-treated sample from lane 3 in (A) as well as a Ub-Sic1 preparation containing both Ub-Sic1 and unmodified Sic1 were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antisem to Sic1 (α-Sic1) (left) or to ubiquitin (α-Ub) (right). The anti-ubiquitin immunoreactivity is primarily derived from autoubiquitinated Cdc34 (30), which is not degraded (37). (C) Deubiquitination of Ub-Sic1 is ATP dependent. Mock- or epoxomicin-treated 26S proteasomes were depleted of ATP by 5 min of incubation with apyrase (15 units/ml) or hexokinase (5 units/ml plus 30 mM glucose [Glu + HK] before incubation with Ub-Sic1. Lane 6 is the same as lane 2, except the DUB inhibitor ubiquitin vinyl sulfone (Ub-VS) (32) was included at 2.5 μM. (D) Subparticles of the 26S proteasome do not efficiently deubiquitinate Ub-Sic1. The 19S regulatory particle was isolated as described in (6). The lid subparticle of 19S was purified from a strain containing RPN8TEV2MYC9 as described (23). AcOomassie blue–stained preparation is shown in Fig. 4C. The three preparations—26S, 19S, and lid—were incubated in the absence (lanes 1, 2, 4, and 6) or presence (lanes 3, 5, and 7) of epoxomicin and assayed for degradation/deubiquitination of Ub-Sic1 as described in (A). (E) Inhibition of deubiquitination by the metal chelator 1,10-phenanthroline. 26S proteasomes were preincubated at 30°C with 1% DMSO and 1% MeOH (lanes 1 and 2) or 100 μM epoxomicin and 1% MeOH (lane 3) containing in addition 1 mM epoxomicin and 1% MeOH (lane 4), 1 mM 1,7-phenanthroline (lane 5), or 1 mM 1,10-phenanthroline premixed with 2 mM CoCl2 (lane 6) or 2 mM CoCl2 (lane 7). The degradation reaction was initiated by the addition of Ub-MbpSic1.

Fig. 2. Rpn11, an intrinsic subunit of the lid subcomplex of the 19S regulatory particle, contains a conserved, predicted metalloprotease motif (JAMM) that is critical for viability. (A) Sequence alignment of RPN11 orthologs with human CSN5/JAB1 reveals the JAMM motif. The multiple alignment was constructed by using the T-Coffee program (33). Each protein is denoted by its name followed by an abbreviated species name. The predicted metal-chelating and catalytic residues are shown as a consensus below the alignment. For a detailed description of the extended JAMM domain consensus based on all RPN11 orthologs, see fig. S3. (B) An intact JAMM motif is critical for yeast cell proliferation. An rpn11::KAN strain kept alive by an [RPN11 URA3] plasmid (RJD 1922) was transformed with LEU2-marked RPN11 (RJD 1933) or rpn11AXA plasmids (RJD 1935). Transformants (two representative clones each) were streaked onto 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5-FOA) plates and allowed to grow for 5 days at 25°C. Because 5-FOA is toxic to URA3 cells, growth is observed only when the URA3-marked plasmid is rendered dispensable by the LEU2 plasmid. (C) The rpn11AXA mutant cannot complement the mpr1-1 temperature-sensitive allele of RPN11. mpr1-1 (RJD 1786) was transformed with either empty pRS316 [URA3] vector or vector containing wild-type RPN11 or rpn11AXA (RJD 1816). Individual transformants were streaked on synthetic minimal (SD)–Ura plates and incubated at 25°C or 37°C for 5 days.

bated with or without epoxomicin. Ub-Sic1 was then added, and degradation was monitored by the loss of Sic1 antigen. In the absence of epoxomicin, Ub-Sic1 was completely degraded (Fig. 1A; fig. S1). Surprisingly, in the presence of epoxomicin, a large fraction of Ub-Sic1 molecules were completely deubiquitinated, as judged by comigration with unmodified Sic1 and failure to cross-react with antibodies to ubiquitin (Fig. 1B).

Conversion of Ub-Sic1 to Sic1 differed from conventional thiol protease–mediated deubiquitination in four respects: (i) it was insensitive to the classic DUB inhibitors ubiquitin aldehyde (Ub-Al) and ubiquitin vinyl sulfone (Ub-VS) (Fig. 1, A and C); (ii) it required adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (Fig.
Fig. 3. An intact JAMM motif is required for degradation of ubiquitin–proteasome pathway substrates in vivo. (A) Stabilization of a UFD pathway substrate in mutant cells. RPN11 (RJD 1901), mpr1-1 (RJD 1902), mpr1-1 RPN11 (RJD 1903), and mpr1-1 rpn11AXA (RJD 1904) strains (23) containing a reporter plasmid expressing the unstable Ub-V76-Val-eK-B-Gal fusion protein were analyzed by pulse-chase 35S radiolabeling as described in (6). Arrow indicates the 35S-labeled substrate protein, and filled circle shows the position of the 90-kD stable breakdown product of the reporter protein. (B) Stabilization of mitotic cyclin Cib2 in mpr1-1 rpn11AXA cells. Mutant mpr1-1 RPN11 (RJD 2002) and mpr1-1/rpn11AXA (RJD 2004) strains harboring a chromosomally encoded, influenza hemagglutinin antigen (HA)–tagged GAL-CIB2 expression cassette (23) were grown in raffinose medium (R) at 25°C, and Cib2 expression was induced by the addition of 2% galactose (G). To confirm specific expression of Cib2-HA3, we prepared cell lysates by lysing with glass beads and boiling. Aliquots were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and analyzed by immunoblotting with 12CA5 antibody to HA as a control (left). For evaluation of Cib2-HA3 stability (right), cultures were grown in raffinose (R) medium at 25°C and arrested in G1 by the addition of α-factor (5 μg/ml) for 2 hours. Cib2 expression was induced with galactose for 1.5 hours, and cultures were shifted to 36°C to inactivate mpr1-1. After 1.5 hours, cells were transferred to α-factor–containing dextrose (D) medium at 37°C to extinguish expression of Cib2. Because there is continuous proteolysis of Cib2 in α-factor–arrested cells, and time 0 was collected after washing and resuspension in yeast extract, peptone, and dextrose, the level is much less than that seen in exponential by growing cells (left). Asterisk denotes a nonspecific immunoreactive band to HA.

The lid subcomplex of the 19S regulatory particle is necessary for ubiquitin-dependent degradation (11). The lid subunits share sequence conservation with subunits of the COP9 signalosome (CSN). CSN preparations contain an isopeptidase activity that promotes cleavage of the ubiquitin-like molecule Nedd8 from Nedd8–Cull1 conjugates (12). The Csn5/Jab1 subunit of CSN (13, 14) and the Rpn11 subunit of the lid (Fig. 2A) contain a distinct arrangement of two histidines and an aspartate preceded by a conserved but variably spaced glutamate (EX_XHXH1X0) (15). We refer to this motif as JAMM for Jab1/Pad1/MPN domain metalloenzyme. We propose that the histidines and aspartate bind a zinc ion, which, together with the upstream glutamate, comprise an active site (14).

To evaluate the role of the JAMM motif of Rpn11 in the metal ion–dependent deubiquitination shown in Fig. 1E, we mutated the two conserved histidines to alamines (henceforth referred to as rpn11AXA mutant; table S3). Because Rpn11 is an essential protein (16), we evaluated the effect of the mutation by plasmid shuffling. A haploid rpn11Δ Δ len2 wu3 strain sustained by a [RPN11 URAD3] plasmid was transformed with LEU2 plasmids containing either RPN11 or rpn11AXA. Transformsants that harbored [rpn11AXA LEU2] were unable to survive without the [RPN11 URAD3] plasmid (Fig. 2B), indicating that an intact Rpn11 JAMM motif was critical. To facilitate further phenotypic characterization of the AXA mutant, we used rpn11−/−, which contains a frameshift in RPN11 that leads to temperature-sensitive growth and expression of a prematurely terminated Rpn11mpr1−/− protein (285 versus 306 amino acids for wild type) (16). Plasmid-borne RPN11 but not rpn11AXA complemented the temperature-sensitive growth of mpr1-1 (Fig. 2C).

Rpn11 and other subunits of the 19S regulatory particle may mediate transcriptional regulation and DNA repair independent of their roles in proteolysis (17, 18). To test whether the inability of rpn11AXA to sustain viability might be due to defective protein degradation, we evaluated the stability of an artificial ubiquitin fusion degradation (UFD) pathway substrate (19) and the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome substrate Cib2 (20) in wild-type, mpr1-1, mpr1-1/RPN11, and mpr1-1/rpn11AXA cells. For the latter experiment, cells were arrested in the G1 phase of the cell cycle with α factor, at which time Cib2 proteolysis proceeds rapidly. RPN11, but not rpn11AXA, complemented the degradation defects observed for both proteins in mpr1-1 cells (Fig. 3). Thus, the JAMM motif was essential for substrate proteolysis by the 26S proteasome in vivo. Paradoxically, subunits that accumulated in rpn11AXA cells were not ubiquitinated. However, when proteolysis is blocked by mutations in proteasome subunits (21) or the DUB Doa4 (22), subunits accumulate primarily in an unmodified form, presumably because of robust activity of “scavenging” DUBs.

To determine the biochemical basis of the rpn11AXA degradation defect, we sought to evaluate the activity of Rpn11AXA proteasomes in vitro. We affinity-purified proteasomes from an mpr1-1 strain harboring a chromosomal copy of either RPN11 or rpn11AXA (23). Immunoblotting with antiserum to Pad1/Rpn11 (24) revealed that extracts from RPN11 and mpr1-1 contained full-length and truncated Rpn11, respectively, whereas extracts from the mpr1-1/RPN11 and mpr1-1/rpn11AXA strains contained both polypeptides (Fig. 4A). Fortuitously, no truncated Rpn11mpr1−/− was present in 26S proteasomes prepared from mpr1-1 strains. This allowed us to prepare point-mutant 26S proteasomes that contained Rpn11AXA, with no contamination by Rpn11mpr1−/−. Native gel electrophoresis followed by Coomassie blue staining and in-gel peptidase assay with a fluorogenic substrate (Fig. 4E) suggested that proteasomes from mpr1-1 cells were defective for assembly. Indeed, 26S proteasomes from the mpr1-1 strain lacked subunits of the lid subcomplex with the 19S regulatory particle as judged by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (Fig. 4, B and C) and mass spectrometry (tables S1 and S2). Thus, the COOH terminus of Rpn11 was required either for stabilization of the lid or for association of the base and lid subcom-
plexes in vitro. By contrast, proteasomes recovered from both mpr1-1/RPN11 and mpr1-1/rpn1 AXA cells were assembled into functional particles as judged by native gel electrophoresis (Fig. 4E), denaturing SDS-PAGE (Fig. 4B), and immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4D) and contained all 26S subunits as determined by mass spectrometry (Table S1).

The “isogenic” Rpn11- and Rpn1 AXA-containing 26S proteasomes were next tested for their ability to deubiquitinate and degrade Ub-Sic1. As expected, Rpn11-containing 26S proteasomes degraded Ub-Sic1, and preincubation with epoxomicin blocked degradation, leading to the accumulation of deubiquitinated Sic1 (Fig. 4F). In contrast, the Rpn1 AXA 26S proteasomes were profoundly defective for both activities. This result suggests a compelling biochemical rationale for the lethality of rpn1 AXA.

We envision the following sequence of steps in the degradation cycle. After binding of a multiubiquitinated substrate to the 26S proteasome, the substrate is unfolded and threaded into the 20S core. Concomitantly, the substrate is deubiquitinated by the metalloclopeptidase activity of Rpn1. If Rpn1 activity is blocked, we envision that the tetraubiquitin chain targeting signal, which has a diameter of about 28 Å (as deduced from the crystal structure coordinates in the Protein Data Bank), would sterically block further substrate translocation upon collision with the entry portal of the 20S proteasome, which has a diameter comparable to that reported for Thermoplasma acidophilum (13 Å) (25). Substrate deubiquitination by Rpn1 thus defines a new, key step in protein degradation by 26S proteasome. Because deubiquitination of Ub-Sic1 requires ATP and is not sustained by a free lid or 19S regulatory particle, we propose that deubiquitination of a substrate is normally coupled tightly to its translocation into the 20S core. Although the mechanism underlying this coupling is unknown, we posit that it renders degradation vectorial by preventing deubiquitination until the substrate is irreversibly committed to proteolysis.

Eukaryotic proteasomes are distinguished from bacterial and archaearal ATP-dependent proteases primarily by their dependence on ubiquitin and the presence of the lid subcomplex (26). Highly specific targeting of substrates can be mediated by prokaryotic adenosine triphosphatases (ATPases) (27, 28), and Rpt5 ATPase appears to be the proteasomal receptor for ubiquitin chains (29). Taken together, these observations raise the question of why eukaryotic proteasomes have a lid. A unifying simplification emerges if one considers that a major—and perhaps only—function of the lid is to serve as a specialized isopeptidase that tightly couples the deubiquitination and degradation of substrates.
Impacts of Soil Faunal Community Composition on Model Grassland Ecosystems


Human impacts, including global change, may alter the composition of soil faunal communities, but consequences for ecosystem functioning are poorly understood. We constructed model grassland systems in the Ecotron controlled environment facility and manipulated soil community composition through assemblages of different animal body sizes. Plant community composition, microbial and root biomass, decomposition rate, and mycorrhizal colonization were all markedly affected. However, two key ecosystem processes, aboveground net primary productivity and net ecosystem productivity, were surprisingly resistant to these changes. We hypothesize that positive and negative faunal-mediated effects in soil communities cancel each other out, causing no net ecosystem effects.

Soil fauna are essential to efficient nutrient cycling, organic matter turnover, and maintenance of soil physical structure, processes that are key determinants of primary productivity and ecosystem carbon storage (1–3). Consequently, there is considerable concern about impacts on ecosystem functioning that might result from shifts in the community composition of soil fauna mediated through global change (4–6). Predictions based on theoretical considerations of soil communities are ambivalent. Indeterminate and unexpected impacts are predicted from food web theory (7, 8). Redundancy is also postulated to be common (9), with large changes in community composition having minimal effects. Anderson (10) argued that net effects may be positive, negative, or zero, depending on the balance between sink and source processes operating at finer scales. Keystone species theory (11) and distinct bacterial versus fungal energy channels (12, 13) further cloud the predictions. Therefore, an empirical approach is essential for predicting the impacts of shifts in soil community composition on ecosystem functioning.

Pot experiments with soil, soil organisms, and sometimes an individual plant or plant species have demonstrated the marked potential effects of loss of specific soil fauna and faunal groups on a range of ecosystem processes (14–16). However, the validity of extrapolating these studies to the field is questionable given the low species numbers of soil fauna and plants (if present) typically used, the artificiality of the soil, and the limited number of variables measured. What is required is an approach that manipulates the composition of a soil faunal community with a species richness more akin to that in the field, which includes a multispecies plant community and a reconstructed soil profile and measures the response of a suite of interacting variables. To manipulate the soil community in the field, and maintain it over biologically meaningful temporal and spatial scales, is logistically difficult (17). Ecological microcosms make such investigations eminently more feasible. We used the Ecotron controlled environment facility (18) to test the role of one component of soil community composition—namely, assemblages that differ in animal body sizes—on carbon flux, and microbial and plant community composition and abundance.

We constructed 15 terrestrial microcosms over a period of 7 months (19) as analogs of a temperate, acid, sheep-grazed grassland (a habitat that occurs widely across the upland regions of northern Britain). We maintained the microcosms in the Ecotron under constant environmental conditions (19) for a further 8.5 months. Soil, plants, fauna, and microorganisms for microcosm construction were collected from the grassland (19). Soil fauna were assigned to a functional group according to body width (20, 21) of the adult or, if the adult was not soil dwelling, largest larval stage. Body size provides a good functional classification because it correlates with metabolic rate, generation time, population density, and food size (22).
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